# HANDBOOK OF PRICING RESEARCH IN MARKETING 

## Handbook of Pricing Research in Marketing

Edited by

Vithala R. Rao

Cornell University, USA

## Edward Elgar

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA
© Vithala R. Rao 2009
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by
Edward Elgar Publishing Limited
The Lypiatts
15 Lansdown Road
Cheltenham
Glos GL50 2JA
UK
Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc.
William Pratt House
9 Dewey Court
Northampton
Massachusetts 01060
USA

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2008943827

PEFC/16-33-111
CATG-PEFC-052
www.pefc.org
ISBN 9781847202406
Printed and bound in Great Britain by MPG Books Ltd, Bodmin, Cornwall

## Contents

List of contributors ..... vii
Foreword ..... xix
Acknowledgments ..... xxi
Introduction ..... 1
Vithala R. Rao
PART I INTRODUCTION/FOUNDATIONS
1 Pricing objectives and strategies: a cross-country survey ..... 9
Vithala R. Rao and Benjamin Kartono
2 Willingness to pay: measurement and managerial implications ..... 37
Kamel Jedidi and Sharan Jagpal
3 Measurement of own- and cross-price effects ..... 61 Qing Liu, Thomas Otter and Greg M. Allenby
4 Behavioral pricing ..... 76
Aradhna Krishna
5 Consumer search and pricing ..... 91 Brian T. Ratchford
6 Structural models of pricing ..... 108 Tat Chan, Vrinda Kadiyali and Ping Xiao
7 Heuristics in numerical cognition: implications for pricing ..... 132 Manoj Thomas and Vicki Morwitz
8 Price cues and customer price knowledge ..... 150
Eric T. Anderson and Duncan I. Simester
PART II PRICING DECISIONS AND MARKETING MIX
9 Strategic pricing of new products and services ..... 169
Rabikar Chatterjee
10 Product line pricing ..... 216 Yuxin Chen11 The design and pricing of bundles: a review of normative guidelines andpractical approaches232R. Venkatesh and Vijay Mahajan
12 Pricing of national brands versus store brands: market power components, findings and research opportunities ..... 258
Koen Pauwels and Shuba Srinivasan
13 Trade promotions ..... 283
Chakravarthi Narasimhan
14 Competitive targeted pricing: perspectives from theoretical research ..... 302
Z. John Zhang
15 Pricing in marketing channels ..... 319
K. Sudhir and Sumon Datta
16 Nonlinear pricing ..... 355
Raghuram Iyengar and Sunil Gupta
17 Dynamic pricing ..... 384
P.B. (Seethu) Seetharaman
PART III SPECIAL TOPICS
18 Strategic pricing: an analysis of social influences ..... 397
Wilfred Amaldoss and Sanjay Jain
19 Online and name-your-own-price auctions: a literature review ..... 419
Young-Hoon Park and Xin Wang
20 Pricing under network effects ..... 435
Hongju Liu and Pradeep K. Chintagunta
21 Advance selling theory ..... 451
Jinhong Xie and Steven M. Shugan
22 Pricing and revenue management ..... 477
Sheryl E. Kimes
23 Pharmaceutical pricing ..... 488
Samuel H. Kina and Marta Wosinska
24 Pricing for nonprofit organizations ..... 512
Yong Liu and Charles B. Weinberg
25 Pricing in services ..... 535
Stowe Shoemaker and Anna S. Mattila
26 Strategic pricing response and optimization in operations management ..... 557 Teck H. Ho and Xuanming Su
Index ..... 581

## Contributors

Greg M. Allenby is the Helen C. Kurtz Chair in Marketing at Ohio State University. He is a Fellow of the American Statistical Association, and a co-author of Bayesian Statistics and Marketing (Wiley, 2005). He is an associate editor of Marketing Science, the Journal of Marketing Research, Quantitative Marketing and Economics and the Journal of Business and Economic Statistics. His research has appeared in these and other leading journals.

Wilfred Amaldoss is Associate Professor of Marketing at the Fuqua School of Business of Duke University, Durham, NC. He holds an MBA from the Indian Institute of Management (Ahmedabad), and an MA (Applied Economics) and a PhD from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. His research interests include behavioral game theory, experimental economics, advertising, pricing, new product development, and social effects in consumption. His recent publications have appeared in Marketing Science, Management Science, Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization and Journal of Mathematical Psychology. His work has received the John D.C. Little award and the Frank Bass award. He serves on the editorial boards of Journal of Marketing Research and Marketing Science.
Eric T. Anderson is the Hartmarx Research Associate Professor of Marketing at Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management, Evanston, IL. He holds a PhD in Management Science from MIT Sloan School of Management and previously held appointments at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business and the W.E. Simon Graduate School of Business at the University of Rochester. Professor Anderson's research interests include pricing strategy, promotion strategy, retailing and channel management. He has conducted field experiments with numerous retailers to investigate customer price perceptions, segmented pricing strategies, long-run effects of promotions and cross-channel effects. He is an area editor for Operations Research and on the editorial board of Marketing Science and Quantitative Marketing and Economics.

Tat Chan is an Associate Professor of Marketing at the Olin Business School, Washington University in St Louis, MO. He received a PhD in Economics at Yale University in 2001. His research interests are in modeling consumer demand and firms' strategies using econometric methodologies. His recent research also includes analyzing the optimal nonlinear pricing strategies (e.g. three-part tariff and product bundling) for firms, identifying consumer strategies in making in-store purchase decisions, evaluating the impacts of channel strategies on manufacturers' and retailers' pricing decisions and market share, and using expectations data to infer managerial objectives and choices.

Rabikar Chatterjee is Professor of Business Administration and the Katz Faculty Fellow in Marketing at the University of Pittsburgh, PA. His teaching, research and consulting interests are in the area of customer-focused marketing and product/service strategies, particularly in high-tech and/or engineered products and services. His research has focused on models of market response to new products, with applications in forecasting, product design and pricing, and in methods for measuring and representing customers' perceptions of and
preferences for competing products. His articles have appeared in various academic journals, including the Journal of Marketing Research, Management Science and Psychometrika. He has served as an associate editor in the marketing department of Management Science and is currently a member of the editorial board of Marketing Science.

Yuxin Chen is an Associate Professor of Marketing at New York University. He holds a PhD in Marketing from Washington University in St Louis, MO. His primary research areas include database marketing, Internet marketing, pricing, Bayesian econometric methods and marketing research. His research has appeared in top academic journals such as Management Science, Marketing Science, the Journal of Marketing Research and Quantitative Marketing and Economics. His paper, 'Individual marketing with imperfect targetability', won the Frank M. Bass Outstanding Dissertation Award for Contributions to the Discipline of Marketing Science and the John D.C. Little Best Paper Award for Marketing Papers Published in Marketing Science and Management Science.

Pradeep K. Chintagunta is the Robert Law Professor of Marketing at the University of Chicago Booth School of Business, IL. He is interested in studying strategic interactions among firms in vertical and horizontal relationships. His research also includes measuring the effectiveness of marketing activities in pharmaceutical markets; investigating aspects of technology product markets and analyzing household purchase behavior.

Sumon Datta is a PhD candidate in the Doctoral Program in Marketing at the Yale School of Management, New Haven, CT. Starting in July 2009, he will join Purdue University's Krannert School of Management as an Assistant Professor of Marketing. His research interests include competitive marketing strategy, consumer demand in emerging markets, empirical industrial organization methods, pricing and advertising. His dissertation investigates the market entry and location decisions of retailers. He considers the tradeoff between the benefit of spatial differentiation (lowering competition) and the benefit of agglomeration (increasing the number of consumers who visit a store), as well as the effects of zoning regulations. He is one of the winners of the 2008 Alden G. Clayton Doctoral Dissertation Proposal Competition. He has recently begun to analyze the evolution of consumer demand in emerging markets and its influence on firms' entry decisions.

Sunil Gupta is Edward W. Carter Professor of Business and Head of the Marketing Department at the Harvard Business School, Boston, MA. Before joining Harvard, he taught at Columbia and UCLA. Sunil's research interests include choice models, pricing, customer management, social networking and new media. His articles in these areas have won several awards, including the O'Dell $(1993,2002,2009)$ and the Paul Green (1998, 2005) awards for the Journal of Marketing Research, and the best paper awards for the International Journal of Research in Marketing (1999) and Marketing Science Institute (1999, 2000 and 2003). Sunil is a co-author of two books. His recent book, Managing Customers as Investments, won the 2006 annual Berry-AMA book prize for the best book in marketing. In September 1999, Sunil was selected as the best core course teacher at Columbia Business School. He is an area editor for the Journal of Marketing Research and serves on the editorial boards of International Journal of Research in Marketing, Journal of Marketing, Marketing Letters, and Marketing Science. He is a member of the analytical advisory board of Information Resources, Inc.

Teck H. Ho is the William Halford Jr Family Professor of Marketing at the University of California, Berkeley. He is the chair of the marketing faculty group. Teck's professional leadership includes roles as departmental editor of Management Science, area editor of Journal of Marketing Research and Marketing Science and editorial board member of Quantitative Marketing and Economics. Teck has published in American Economic Review, Econometrica, Journal of Economic Theory, Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science, Management Science, Psychological Science and Quarterly Journal of Economics, and has been funded by the National Science Foundation for his innovative research in experimental and behavioral economics since 1995. He won the Best Teacher of the Year Award three years in a row at Haas School of Business, University of California, Berkeley from 2004 to 2006.
Raghuram Iyengar is Assistant Professor of Marketing at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia, PA. He has an undergraduate degree in engineering from IIT Kanpur, India, and has a PhD in Marketing from Columbia University, New York. His research interests are in pricing, structural models and Bayesian methods.
Sharan Jagpal is Professor of Marketing at Rutgers Business School, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ. He has published widely in top-tier journals in marketing, economics, econometrics and statistics, and is the author of two multidisciplinary books, Marketing Strategy and Uncertainty (Oxford University Press, 1998) and Fusion for Profit: How Marketing and Finance Can Work Together to Create Value (Oxford University Press, 2008). His theoretical research focuses on developing marketing models for the multiproduct firm under uncertainty, the marketing-finance interface, measuring advertising effectiveness, designing sales force compensation plans, measuring performance in the multiproduct firm, new product models, consumer behavior, forecasting, channels of distribution, strategic alliances, mergers and acquisitions, Internet marketing and pharmaceutical marketing. His methodological research focuses on developing new empirical methods for the multiproduct firm under uncertainty.

Jagpal is president of Strategic Management and Marketing Consultants, a consulting company that focuses on developing customized models for decision-making in the firm. He holds a BSc (Economics Honours) degree from the London School of Economics and MBA, MPhil and PhD degrees from Columbia University.

Sanjay Jain is the Macy's Foundation Professor of Marketing at the Mays Business School, Texas A\&M University, College Station, TX. Sanjay's research interests are in the area of product innovation, pricing, competitive strategy, behavioral economics and experimental game theory. His research has been published in Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science and Management Science. He has been a finalist for the Paul Green Award in 2001 and won the 2007 ISMS practice prize competition. He serves on the editorial review board of Decision Sciences, Journal of Marketing Research and Marketing Science.
Kamel Jedidi is the John A. Howard Professor of Business and Chair of the Marketing Division at Columbia Business School, Columbia University, New York. He holds a bachelor's degree in Economics from the Faculté des Sciences Economiques de Tunis, Tunisia, and Master's and PhD degrees in Marketing from the Wharton School, University of

## x Contributors

Pennsylvania. He has published extensively in leading marketing and statistics journals, the most recent of which have appeared in the Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science, Management Science, the International Journal of Research in Marketing and Psychometrika. His substantive research interests include pricing, product design and positioning, diffusion of innovations, market segmentation, and the long-term impact of advertising and promotions. His methodological interests lie in multidimensional scaling, classification, structural equation modeling, and Bayesian and finite-mixture models. He was awarded the 1998 International Journal of Research in Marketing Best Article Award and the Marketing Science Institute 2000 Best Paper Award.

Vrinda Kadiyali is Professor of Marketing and Economics at Cornell's Johnson School of Management, Ithaca, NY. Her research interests are in empirical models of competition and consumer choices. She has worked with structural and reduced-form econometric models, and laboratory studies. Her interests span various industries, such as residential real estate, movies, Internet bidding, hotels, higher education etc. She has published in journals, including the Journal of Law and Economics, Rand Journal of Economics, Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science and Quantitative Marketing and Economics. She also serves on the editorial boards of the last three.

Benjamin Kartono is an Assistant Professor of Marketing at the Nanyang Technological University in Singapore. He received his BS degrees in Chemical Engineering and Economics from the University of Michigan in 1996 and his PhD from the Johnson Graduate School of Management at Cornell University in 2006. Before entering academia, he worked in the oil and petrochemicals industry. His research focuses on various issues pertaining to branding and pricing.

Sheryl E. Kimes is the Singapore Tourism Board Distinguished Professor of Asian Hospitality Management at the Cornell University School of Hotel Administration, Ithaca, NY. From 2005 to 2006, she served as interim dean of the Hotel School and from 2001 to 2005, as the school's director of graduate studies. She specializes in revenue management with a particular emphasis in the hotel, restaurant, golf and spa industries. She has published in a number of journals including Interfaces, Journal of Operations Management, Journal of Service Research, Decision Sciences and the Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly. Kimes earned her doctorate in Operations Management in 1987 from the University of Texas at Austin.

Samuel H. Kina is a doctoral candidate in Health Policy at Harvard University, Boston, MA. He previously held a position at the Congressional Budget Office where he served as an analyst focusing on legislation influencing the pharmaceuticals industry. Mr Kina's research interest is in health economics and includes pricing and strategy in the pharmaceutical industry and physician organization and decision-making.

Aradhna Krishna does research on pricing and promotion policies, sensory marketing and socially relevant marketing. Her work within the area of pricing and promotion focuses on both consumer promotions and trade promotions, e.g. bundling issues, loyalty programs, coupons, price cuts and trade deals. She examines consumer response to promotions, consumers' perceptions of promotions, behavioral pricing issues (e.g. reference price formation, promotion presentation effects), and also builds analytical models for
managerial (retailer and manufacturer) promotion policies. Within the area of sensory marketing, she has done a great deal of work on visual stimuli (package design, mall layout, store layout, shelf allocation), haptics (e.g. how the feel of product can affect perceived taste), smell (e.g. whether smell enhances long-term memory for a brand) and taste (e.g. if an advertisement can affect perceived taste). Her research on socially relevant marketing mostly concerns cause marketing. Her research methodology combines experimental techniques with quantitative modeling approaches. She has written numerous articles and her work is cited in NPR, New York Times, Wall Street Journal and other publications. She is on the editorial boards of the Journal of Marketing Research, International Journal of Research in Marketing, Management Science, Marketing Science and Marketing Letters.

Hongju Liu is Assistant Professor in Marketing at the University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT. His research interests include empirical industrial organization, dynamic pricing, technology markets and network effects. He received a PhD from the University of Chicago.

Qing Liu is Assistant Professor of Marketing at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Her research focuses on the application and development of statistical theories and methodology to help solve problems in marketing and marketing research. Areas of interest include conjoint analysis, consumer choice, experimental design and Bayesian methods.

Yong Liu is Assistant Professor of Marketing at the Eller College of Management, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ. He received a PhD degree in Marketing from the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. Yong was on the faculty at the Whitman School of Management, Syracuse University, before moving to Tucson, Arizona. His current research interests include social interactions and network effects in the media/entertainment markets, positioning strategies for business and nonprofit organizations, and managing product-harm crisis. His research has been published in journals such as Marketing Science, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Public Policy and Marketing, Marketing Letters and Journal of Cultural Economics. Yong has served on the editorial boards of Marketing Science (2002-05 and 2005-07) and Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences (since 2009), and was selected as a Marketing Science Institute (MSI) Young Scholar in 2007. He was a Fellow at the Center for the Study of Popular Television at the S.I. Newhouse School of Public Communication, Syracuse University.

Vijay Mahajan, former Dean of the Indian School of Business, holds the John P. Harbin Centennial Chair in Business at the McCombs School of Business, University of Texas at Austin. He is a recipient of the American Marketing Association's (AMA) Charles Coolidge Parlin Award for visionary leadership in scientific marketing. The AMA also instituted the Vijay Mahajan Award in 2000 for career contributions to marketing strategy. Mahajan is author or editor of nine books including his recent book on developing countries, The 86\% Solution (Wharton School Publishing, 2006), which received the 2007 Book-of-the-Year Award from the AMA. He is currently working on a book on Africa, to be released by Wharton School Publishing in 2008. He is a former editor of the Journal of Marketing Research. Mahajan received a BTech in Chemical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology at Kanpur, and his MS in Chemical Engineering and PhD in Management from the University of Texas at Austin.

Anna S. Mattila is a professor at the School of Hospitality Management at Pennsylvania State University, Philadelphia. She holds a PhD in services marketing from Cornell University. Her research interests focus on service encounters, with a particular interest in service failures and service recovery and cross-cultural research. Her work has appeared in the Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Journal of Retailing, Journal of Service Research, Journal of Consumer Psychology, Psychology \& Marketing, Journal of Services Marketing, International Journal of Service Industry Management, Cornell Hotel \& Restaurant Administration Quarterly, Journal of Travel Research, International Journal of Hospitality Management, Tourism Management and in the Journal of Hospitality \& Tourism Research. Anna has written several book chapters and currently serves on 13 editorial boards in journals specializing in services management. She is also the Chief Editor of Journal of Hospitality \& Tourism Research. Anna is a recipient of the John Wiley \& Sons Lifetime Research Award and The University of Delaware Michael D. Olsen Lifetime Research Achievement Award.

Vicki Morwitz is Research Professor of Marketing at the Stern School of Business, New York University. She received a BS in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics from Rutgers University, an MS in Operations Research from Polytechnic University, and an MA in Statistics and a PhD in Marketing from the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania. Her research interests include behavioral aspects of pricing, the relationship between purchase intentions and purchase behavior, and the effects of responding to and exposure to market research surveys on attitudes, intentions and behavior. She teaches the marketing core, marketing research, and doctoral classes in judgment and decision-making. Her work has appeared in Harvard Business Review, International Journal of Forecasting, Journal of Consumer Psychology, Journal of Consumer Research, Journal of Marketing Research, Management Science and Marketing Letters. She has worked at IBM, Prodigy Services and RCA.

Chakravarthi Narasimhan is the Philip L. Siteman Professor of Marketing and Director of the PhD Program in the Olin Business School at Washington University, in St Louis, MO. His current research interests are in modeling demand in pharmaceutical and telecommunication markets, examining interaction of multiple marketing strategies and supply chain contracts, especially supply chain strategies under uncertainty. He has published in Marketing Science, Management Science, Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Business, Journal of Econometrics and Harvard Business Review, among others. He is an area editor of Marketing Science and an associate editor of Management Science and Quantitative Marketing and Economics.

Thomas Otter is Professor of Marketing at Johann Wolfgang Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany. His research focuses on Bayesian modeling with application to marketing. He uses Bayesian statistics and MCMC techniques to develop and refine quantitative marketing models by incorporating psychological and economic theory. His research has been published in Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science, Quantitative Marketing and Economics, Journal of Business \& Economic Statistics, International Journal of Research in Marketing, Psychometrika and Marketing Letters.

Young-Hoon Park is Associate Professor of Marketing at the Johnson Graduate School of Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. He holds a PhD in Marketing at
the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. His research interests include Bayesian and statistical modeling with application to business problems. His research has been published in Marketing Science, Management Science, and Journal of Marketing Research, among others. He has been a finalist for the John D.C. Little Award from the INFORMS in 2008. He serves on the editorial board of Marketing Science.

Koen Pauwels is Professor of Marketing at Özyeğin University in Istanbul, Turkey, and Associate Professor at the Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth in Hanover, NH, where he teaches and researches return on marketing investment. He won the 2007 O'Dell award for the most influential paper in the Journal of Marketing Research, and built his research insights in industries ranging from automobiles and pharmaceuticals to business content sites and fast-moving consumer goods. Current research projects include the predictive power of market dashboard metrics, the impact of brand equity on marketing effectiveness, retailer product assortment, price wars, the dynamics of differentiation and performance turnaround strategies. Professor Pauwels received his PhD in Management from UCLA, won the EMAC 2001 Best Paper Award and publishes in Harvard Business Review, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Retailing, Management Science and Marketing Science. He serves on the editorial boards of the International Journal of Research in Marketing, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Marketing Research and Marketing Science. Koen is a reviewer for the above journals, and for Management Science, Marketing Letters, Journal of Retailing, Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Journal of Advertising, Statistica Neerlandica and International Journal of Forecasting.
Vithala R. Rao is the Deane W. Malott Professor of Management and Professor of Marketing and Quantitative Methods, Johnson Graduate School of Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. He received his Master's degree in Mathematical Statistics from the University of Bombay and in Sociology from the University of Michigan, and a PhD in Applied Economics/Marketing from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. He has published over 110 papers on several topics, including conjoint analysis and multidimensional scaling for the analysis of consumer preferences and perceptions, promotions, pricing, market structure, corporate acquisition and brand equity. His current work includes bundle design and pricing, product design, diffusion and demand estimation of pre-announced products, competitive issues of pre-announcement strategies, Internet recommendation systems, linking branding strategies of firms to their financial performance. His research papers have appeared in the Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science, Journal of Consumer Research, Decision Science, Management Science, Journal of Marketing, Multivariate Behavioral Research, Journal of Classification, Marketing Letters, Applied Economics and International Journal of Research in Marketing.

Rao is the co-author of four books, Applied Multidimensional Scaling (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1972), Decision Criteria for New Product Acceptance and Success (Quorum Books, 1991), New Science of Marketing (Irwin Professional Pub., 1995) and Analysis for Strategic Marketing (Addison-Wesley, 1998).

He currently serves on the editorial boards of Marketing Science, Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Marketing and Journal of Business to Business Marketng. He received the 2000-01 Faculty Research Award of the Johnson Graduate School of Management at Cornell University and other awards for his papers. He received the 2008 Charles Coolidge Parlin Marketing Research Award presented by the American Marketing

Association and the American Marketing Association Foundation recognizing his 'outstanding leadership and sustained impact on advancing the evolving profession of marketing research over an extended period of time'.

Brian T. Ratchford. Since 2006 Brian T. Ratchford has been Charles and Nancy Davidson Professor of Marketing, University of Texas at Dallas. From 1999 to 2006 he was Pepsico Chair in Consumer Research, University of Maryland. From 1971 to 1999 he held various academic positions at State University of New York at Buffalo. He has MBA and PhD degrees from the University of Rochester. His research interests are in economics applied to the study of consumer behavior, information economics, marketing productivity, marketing research and electronic commerce. He has published over 70 articles in marketing and related fields, including articles in Marketing Science, Management Science, Journal of Consumer Research and Journal of Marketing Research. He was editor of Marketing Science from 1998 to 2002, is currently an associate editor of Journal of Consumer Research, and is currently on the editorial review boards of Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Retailing, Journal of Interactive Marketing and Journal of Service Research.
P.B. (Seethu) Seetharaman is Professor of Marketing at the Jesse H. Jones Graduate School of Management, Rice University, Houston, TX. As a marketing researcher, Seethu's interests lie primarily in the area of demand estimation, which aids marketing decision-making. In the area of pricing in particular, Seethu's research deals with understanding both consumers' responses and competitors' reactions to firms' pricing tactics, with particular attention paid to dynamic interdependencies that arise (on account of inertia, variety seeking, reference prices etc.) in consumers' demands for brands over time. Seethu currently serves as the Director of Asian Business Research and Education, and is also the marketing area advisor for the doctoral program in Management at Rice University. He received his PhD at Cornell University and has previously taught at Washington University in St Louis.

Stowe Shoemaker is the Donald Hubbs Distinguished Professor at the University of Houston's Conrad Hilton College of Hotel and Restaurant Management, Houston, TX. He is also on the executive education faculty at the Cornell University School of Hotel Administration. He holds a PhD from Cornell University. He has written numerous academic and popular articles, and is the co-author of a Harvard Business School Case Study on Hilton HHonors. He is the senior author of Marketing Leadership in Hospitality and Tourism: Strategies and Tactics for a Competitive Advantage (2007) and senior author of Marketing Essentials in Hospitality and Tourism (2008), both published by Prentice-Hall.

Steven M. Shugan, the Russell Berrie Foundation Eminent Scholar and Professor at the University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, teaches multivariate statistics, marketing models and advanced marketing management. His PhD in Managerial Economics is from Northwestern University. Formerly a full professor at University of Chicago (13 years), an assistant professor at the University of Rochester (two years) and an instructor at SDA Bocconi, Milan, he has taught marketing, econometrics (Chicago), statistics (Florida) and computer science (Northwestern). He was editor-in-chief of Marketing Science (six years), editor of Journal of Business and associate editor of Management Science, and served on many editorial boards (including Journal of Consumer Research, Journal of Marketing
and Journal of Marketing Research). He has numerous publications and presentations in over 22 countries, and won several best paper awards, including Marketing Science (twice), Journal of Marketing, Journal of Retailing, Journal of Service Research (finalist), Journal of Marketing Research (finalist), and best teaching awards. He has consulted for over thirty different firms. Website: http://bear.cba.ufl.edu/shugan/.

Duncan I. Simester is a professor at MIT's Sloan School of Management, Cambridge, MA, where he holds the NTU Chair in Management Science. The chapter presented in this volume is one of a series of studies that uses field data from retail settings. These include several studies that focus on evaluating the long-run effect of marketing decisions, together with a stream of work investigating the role of price cues. Duncan edits the marketing science section of Operations Research, is on the editorial board of Journal of Marketing Research, and serves as an area editor for Marketing Science and Management Science.

Shuba Srinivasan is Associate Professor of Marketing at Boston University's School of Management. She obtained her MS in Physics from the Indian Institute of Technology and an MBA in Marketing at the Indian Institute of Management. She obtained her PhD in Marketing from the University of Texas at Dallas, where she worked with Dr Frank M. Bass. She was awarded the M/A/R/C Award for Outstanding Doctoral Student from the University of Texas at Dallas in 1998. She has also been a visiting research scholar at UCLA and HEC, Paris. Professor Srinivasan's research focuses on strategic marketing problems, in particular long-term marketing productivity, to which she applies her expertise in econometrics and time-series analysis. She has built her research insights in industries ranging from automobiles to pharmaceuticals and fast-moving consumer goods. Her current research focuses on marketing's impact on financial performance and firm valuation, marketing metrics, and decomposing demand effects of radical innovations, and managing brand equity. Her research won the 2001 EMAC best paper award and her papers have been published in the Journal of Marketing Research, Marketing Science, Management Science, Journal of Marketing, Harvard Business Review, the International Journal of Research in Marketing, Journal of Advertising Research, and Journal of Economics and Management Strategy, among others. Prior to joining Boston University, she served as Associate Professor at the University of California, Riverside. In 2005, the University of California named her a University Scholar for a three-year period. Professor Srinivasan serves on the editorial boards of Marketing Science, Journal of Marketing Research, and International Journal of Research in Marketing, and also actively reviews for journals such as Management Science and the Journal of Marketing. She has consulting experience in market-response modeling on customer and marketing databases with a wide spectrum of companies.

Xuanming Su is Assistant Professor at the Haas School of Business at University of California, Berkeley. His areas of research include operations management, revenue management and behavioral decision-making. His recent work studies the impact of consumer behavior on dynamic pricing strategies and supply chain management.
K. Sudhir is Professor of Marketing at the Yale School of Management and Director of the China-India Consumer Insights Program at the Yale Center for Customer Insights, New Haven, CT. After receiving his PhD from Cornell, he was an assistant professor at NYU's Stern School from 1998 to 2001. His research covers a number of substantive and
methodological areas, and he is best known for his contributions to empirical industrial organization. He has recently begun a research agenda focused on emerging markets such as China and India. He serves as an area editor at Marketing Science and Management Science, an associate editor at Quantitative Marketing and Economics and is on the editorial board of the Journal of Marketing Research. He has received several research awards including the Bass Award at Marketing Science (2003), the Lehmann award at the Journal of Marketing Research (2007) for best dissertation-based paper, and honorable mentions for the Wittink best paper ward at Quantitative Marketing and Economics (2006), and the best paper award in the International Journal of Research in Marketing (2001). He was also a finalist for the 2001 Little award at Marketing Science (2001) and the Green award at the Journal of Marketing Research (2006).

Manoj Thomas is Assistant Professor of Marketing at the S.C. Johnson Graduate School of Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. He received an MBA from the Indian Institute of Management Calcutta and a PhD in Marketing from Stern School of Business, New York University. His current research interests include the role of fluency and nonconscious processes in consumer judgments, mental representation and processing of numerical stimuli, behavioral pricing, and the effects of construal level on judgments. His work has been published in Journal of Consumer Research and Journal of Marketing Research. He teaches strategic brand management and product management at the S.C. Johnson Graduate School of Management, Cornell University.
R. Venkatesh is Associate Professor of Marketing at the University of Pittsburgh's Katz School of Business, PA. His research interests include pricing, product bundling, co-branding, eCommerce and sales force management. His articles on these topics have appeared or are forthcoming in the Journal of Business, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Marketing Research, Management Science and Marketing Science. He serves on the editorial review board of the Journal of Marketing. Venkatesh has a PhD in Marketing from the University of Texas at Austin, an MBA from the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, and a BEngg (Honors) degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Madras, India.

Xin Wang is Assistant Professor of Marketing at International Business School, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA. Her research interests include online pricing, service quality and consumer learning. Her research investigates consumer behavior under various pricing formats, and helps managers make pricing decisions based on empirical and theoretical analysis. She has published in Quantitative Marketing and Economics, Marketing Letters, the Economic Journal, Medical Care and also book chapters on these topics. Before joining the faculty at Brandeis, she was on the faculty at the Krannert School of Management, Purdue University. Her prior professional work experience also includes working as a research fellow and instructor at the Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University, as well as a research associate at the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. She received her PhD in Marketing from Carnegie Mellon University.

Charles B. Weinberg is the Presidents of SME Vancouver and Professor of Marketing at the Sauder School of Business, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. In 2008, he was selected as one of the first ten fellows of the INFORMS Society for Marketing Science. His research focuses on analytical marketing, services, and public and
nonprofit marketing and management. His work in the nonprofit sector includes pricing, the marketing of safer sex practices, portfolio management and competition among nonprofit organizations. For more than 30 years, he has studied the arts and entertainment industries. His early work focused on live entertainment and included the ARTS PLAN model for marketing and scheduling performing arts events for a nonprofit organization. More recently, he has focused on the movie industry in which he has studied such issues as competitive dynamics, scheduling of movies into theaters, sequential release of movies and DVDs, and contract terms. He is a former editor of Marketing Letters and area editor of Marketing Science. He grew up in New Jersey, but has lived in Vancouver for 30 years. He hopes that all who attended the 2008 Marketing Science conference in Vancouver, which he chaired, will see why he has chosen to make 'Beautiful British Columbia' his home.

Marta Wosinska is the Acting Director for Analysis Staff in the Office of Planning and Informatics at the Food and Drug Administration's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Prior to joining FDA, she taught marketing strategy and healthcare marketing at Harvard Business School and Columbia Business School. Her academic research focuses on the impact of various marketing interventions, such as direct-to-consumer advertising and pricing, on patient and physician behavior. Her work has been published in leading marketing and health policy journals.
Ping Xiao is Assistant Professor of Marketing at the Business School at the National University of Singapore. She received a PhD in Marketing at Washington University in St Louis in 2008. Her research focuses on examining the strategic use of nonlinear pricing (e.g. three-part tariffs) and product bundling, both empirically and theoretically.

Jinhong Xie is J.C. Penny Professor of Marketing at the Warrington College of Business Administration, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. She has taught at a number of universities within and outside of the United States, including the University of Rochester, Carnegie Mellon University, the International University of Japan, Tsinghua University, and Cheung Kong Graduate School of Business. She served as associate editor of Management Science and area editor of Marketing Science. She is a recipient of INFORMS' John D.C. Little Best Paper Award, the Marketing Science Institute's Research Competition Award, the Product Development and Management Association's Research Competition Award, and the University of Florida's Best Teaching Award. Her research interests include pricing, technology innovation, network effects and standards competition, and consumer social interactions. She has published in Marketing Science, Management Science, Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Marketing, Journal of Product Innovation Management and Journal of Service Research. She holds a PhD in Engineering and Public Policy from Carnegie Mellon University, an MS in Optimal Control from the Second Academy of the Ministry of Astronautics (China), and a BS in Electrical Engineering from Tsinghua University.
Z. John Zhang is Professor of Marketing and Murrel J. Ades Professor at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia. He earned a Bachelor's degree in Engineering Automation and Philosophy of Science from Huazhong University of Science and Technology (China), a PhD in History and Sociology of Science from the University of Pennsylvania, and also a PhD in Economics from the University of Michigan.

Before joining Wharton in 2002, John taught pricing and marketing management at the Olin School of Business of Washington University in St Louis for three years and at Columbia Business School for five years. John's research focuses primarily on competitive pricing strategies, the design of pricing structures and channel management. He has published numerous articles in top marketing and management journals on various pricing issues such as measuring consumer reservation prices, price-matching guarantees, targeted pricing, access service pricing, choice of price promotion vehicles, channel pricing, price wars and the pricing implications of advertising. He has also developed an interest in the movie and telecom industries in recent years.

He currently serves as associate editor for Quantitative Economics and Marketing. He is also an area editor for Marketing Science and Management Science. He won the 2001 John D.C. Little Best Paper Award and 2001 Frank Bass Best Dissertation Award, along with his co-authors, for his contribution to the understanding of targeted pricing with imperfect targetability.

## Foreword

Editing a handbook is an opportunity to organize a field. My marketing colleague, Vithala Rao, seems to have been preparing for this for 24 years, judging from his paper, 'Review of Pricing Research in Marketing: The State of the Art', written in 1984.

At its finest grain, Vithala's organization of pricing research starts with 26 chapters written by top researchers in areas of their personal expertise. Coverage is remarkably comprehensive. The Handbook divides roughly into thirds: Part I - Introduction/ Foundations, Part II - Pricing Decisions and Marketing Mix, and Part III - Special Topics, the latter emphasizing recent developments. I am also completely impressed with Vithala's people organizational skills in making 26 chapters with 26 sets of authors and reviewers actually happen.

The Handbook takes an active view of pricing, which I applaud. The 'Introduction' contrasts pricing research in marketing with that in microeconomics, pointing out that marketers are oriented toward achieving the objectives of the firm. I relate to this, since I come from the OR/MS tradition, which focuses on decision-making and decision support.

The ubiquity of price as a control variable has pursued me all of my marketing life. In 1969, as a neophyte consultant, I co-built a marketing-mix model at Nabisco for Oreos, 'America's Favorite Cookie'. Our goal was to support marketing management in its annual plan. We had monthly historical data with which to calibrate the model. It was then I first learned that what many academics were interpreting as a price variable was really promotion. Price had not gone away; the marketing mix needs both. I was being introduced to pricing research.

To give the reader a taste of Vithala's Handbook, I sample three chapters:

## Chapter 20: 'Pricing under network effects’(Liu and Chintagunta)

The hallmark of networks is that they become more valuable to everybody as more people join them. Although network effects are as ancient as a middle-east bazaar, the Internet has newly thrust them in our faces with innovations such as multi-person online games.

Liu and Chintagunta describe pricing issues under network effects as reported in the theoretical literature, including static pricing, dynamic pricing, and nonlinear pricing. The authors, however, lament the state of empirical research in the field. To quote them, 'we are still not well equipped to provide normative guidance on firm's pricing strategies in real industry settings'. Thus one researcher's problem will be a future researcher's challenge.

## Chapter 18: 'Strategic pricing: an analysis of social influences' (Amaldoss and Jain)

The authors build models of social phenomena that may variously be called conspicuous consumption, prestige, or snobbishness. The models focus on two basic social needs: a desire for uniqueness on one hand and the countervailing need to conform on the other. People buy conspicuous goods not just to satisfy material needs but also because of social desires. Firms that produce such goods tend to advertise the exclusivity of their products and must find an appropriate pricing strategy for them.

A summer 2008 example was AT\&T Wireless, which became an exclusive channel for the Apple's new iPhone 3G. Big introductory promotions (with high prices for the iPhone) produced queues of hundreds of people at Apple stores in shopping malls on July 11. I myself was a purchaser (but through AT\&T because I was unwilling to wait in queue). My self-analysis is that I was briefly unique and then sank into conformity.

## Chapter 19: 'Online and name-your-own-price auctions: a literature review' (Park and Wang)

The authors review pricing mechanisms that have long been known for selling art objects but have suddenly blossomed into multi-billion dollar Internet businesses. The literature review is a service to all of us interested in this economically significant area, either for research or profit. The chapter covers recent theoretical, empirical, and experimental research on the effect of auction design parameters on outcomes, as well as bidding strategies themselves. The field is rich in results, in part because the theoretical work is well balanced by access to field and experimental data.

Perhaps it is the skill of the authors, but I am heartened to see so many concepts and phenomena from the foundations of pricing (as covered in earlier chapters), from marketing generally, and from consumer behavior in particular, show up in this excellent review.

## Challenges ahead

A sub-theme throughout the Handbook is future research opportunities. In looking around today, I see many examples of practical pricing problems that seem to beg for investigation. Consider the exploding field of advertising on search engines. In the early days of the Internet, when people were proclaiming a 'new economy', many start-ups planned to pay their bills by selling advertising. This dream disappeared in the collapse of the Internet bubble. Then Google found a way to make advertising generate significant revenue. Its pricing mechanism was auctions. Google's revenue growth brought it a high stock price and a huge market valuation. Now Google competitors are trying to make advertising work too. This sounds like a pricing research challenge. The fundamentals presented in Vithala's Handbook will be important building blocks. The world is waiting for the right research team.

John D.C. Little<br>Institute Professor, MIT Sloan School,<br>Cambridge, MA, USA
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# Introduction 

Vithala R. Rao

## Introduction

There can be little doubt that pricing decisions are predominant among all the marketing mix decisions for a product (service or business). Pricing decisions interact with other marketing mix decisions and also with the decisions of distribution intermediaries of the firm.

Pricing research occurs in at least two disciplines of microeconomics and marketing. While the pricing research in microeconomics ${ }^{1}$ is largely theoretical, research in marketing is primarily oriented toward managerial decisions. Further, pricing research in marketing is interdisciplinary, utilizing economic as well as behavioral (psychological) concepts. Research in marketing emphasizes measurement and estimation issues as well. The environment in which pricing decisions and transactions are implemented has also changed dramatically, mainly due to the advent of the Internet and the practices of advance selling and yield management. Over the years, marketing scholars have incorporated developments in game theory and microeconomics, behavioral decision theory, psychological and social dimensions, and newer market mechanisms of auctions in their contributions to pricing research. Examples include applications of prospect theory, newer conjoint analysis methods for measurement of price effects, newer market mechanisms of auctions, use of game theory in dealing with pricing along the distribution channel, and models that describe practices of advanced selling and yield management.

This Handbook consists of 26 chapters and is an attempt to bring together state-of-theart research by established marketing scholars on various topics in pricing. The chapters are specifically written for this Handbook. The chapters cover various developments and concepts as applied to tackling pricing problems. Based on a thorough academic review, the authors have revised their initial drafts of chapters.

## Overview of chapters in the Handbook

The chapters are organized into three major parts, labeled Parts I (8 chapters), II (9 chapters) and III ( 9 chapters). Part I covers topics that are in some sense fundamental to pricing research. Part II covers topics that deal with selected pricing decisions and marketing mix, while Part III covers some special topics that are emerging in pricing research.
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Part I (eight chapters): fundamental topics
The chapter by Rao and Kartono describes the results and analyses of reported use of some 19 possible pricing strategies based on a survey among pricing decision-makers conducted in three countries. Three most frequently used strategies are the cost-plus, price signaling, and perceived value pricing, with considerable differences among the three countries. Their chapter also shows the relationships between the reported usage of strategies, and several determinants and pricing objectives. These descriptive results may form the basis for developing richer mathematical (possibly game-theoretic) models for optimal choice of pricing strategies.

Chapter 2, by Jedidi and Jagpal, focuses on the methods for measuring willingness to pay (WTP) or reservation price for a product or service, and using those measures in various pricing decisions such as bundling, quantity discounts and product line pricing. This concept is fundamental to both the theory and practice of pricing. In addition to self-stated WTP, the authors discuss methods for estimating WTP from actual purchase data, contingent evaluation data, conjoint methods and experimental auctions. They call for additional research on comparing the methods as well as developing newer methods. One example of a newer method is to measure reservation price as a range (Wang et al., 2007).

Chapter 3 by Liu, Otter and Allenby describes approaches to measure own- and crossprice effects particularly when there is a large number of offerings in a product category. This problem arises particularly in the retail context. They describe methods to reduce the dimensionality of the problem by employing economic theory of choice and demand, and Bayesian methods to augment the information contained in the data. Extension to estimating dynamic price effects is a challenging research issue, as identified by the authors.

Chapter 4 by Krishna focuses on the effects of price that cannot be accounted for by the intrinsic price itself. These effects, called 'behavioral effects', arise due to the way individual consumers are influenced by price presentation in comparison to an externally provided reference price or presentation of a promotional offer as absolute reduction in dollars or as a percentage reduction relative to normal price. The author discusses a variety of these effects using both laboratory experimental data and data of actual purchases. Clearly more work is possible in this fascinating area.

Chapter 5 by Ratchford deals with consumer search behavior and prices. The author reviews empirical studies that support the basic conjecture of Stigler made some 40 years earlier, namely that consumer search is costly and that it will create price dispersion. The review summarizes theoretical models of optimal search, and describes how costly search may affect the behavior of markets. Two of the key results in this literature are that price dispersion should exist in equilibrium, and that differences in search costs provide a motive for price discrimination. Also, there is heterogeneity of search behavior among consumers. The author also reviews the impact of the Internet on price dispersion. As he points out, there is need to develop models of pricing and price dispersion that are more closely related to actual seller behavior.

Chapter 6 by Chan, Kadiyali and Xiao emphasizes the need to specify appropriate assumptions for the behavior of consumers and firms to understand market outcomes. The resulting structural models suitably estimated will be useful for conducting simulations in determining optimal price policies for a varying set of market conditions. While this line of research is distinct from the reduced-form approach often employed in
marketing research, it will undoubtedly enrich our understanding of the drivers of market prices. The structural approach offers possibilities to incorporate alternative behavioral assumptions and alternative ways of interactions among agents. It constitutes a step in the right direction for incorporating the impact of competition into pricing research.

Chapter 7 by Thomas and Morwitz describes implications of the anchoring, representativeness and availability heuristics on the judgments consumers make on the magnitude of prices of products or services and the order of numerical digits in the prices. For example, consumers may judge the differences to be large for pairs with easier computations than for pairs with difficult comparisons. These authors comment that pricing managers should decide not only the magnitude of the optimal price but should also pay attention to how the digits are arranged. This general area offers opportunities for exciting experimental research.

In Chapter 8, Anderson and Simester discuss the literature on the effectiveness of price cues that documents examples of firms exploiting their use. A price cue is any marketing tactic used to persuade customers that prices (posted) offer a good value. The authors review extant literature, document the effectiveness of price cues and present evidence for the economic explanation that customers respond to price cues if they lack sufficient knowledge of prices and if they cannot evaluate whether prices offer good value.

## Part II (nine chapters): pricing decisions and marketing mix

Chapter 9 by Chatterjee provides a comprehensive review of the normative models developed in the literature on strategic pricing for new products and services that incorporate various factors such as consumer learning, diffusion, cost reduction and competition. This chapter also contains a review of relevant empirical research on the use of penetration pricing or skimming pricing strategies. There are interesting opportunities for building normative models to deal with nontraditional pricing schemes, such as pricing to maximize customer lifetime value and auctions on the Internet.

Chapter 10 by Chen reviews developments in pricing a product line, defined as the set of products or services sold by a firm that provide similar functionalities and serve similar needs and wants of consumers. The products in the line can be vertically or horizontally differentiated, or both. Factors such as customer self-selection and competition are included in the models and results reviewed are intuitively appealing. Various directions for future research are also suggested.

Chapter 11 by Venkatesh and Mahajan provides a comprehensive review of the design and pricing of product bundles, a practice that is growing in the wake of high technology and e-Commerce. The authors have drawn a set of guidelines for bundle pricing based on a large body of traditional models in the literature as well as newer methodologies. Opportunities exist in this area for both behavioral research and analytical modeling.

Chapter 12 by Pauwels and Srinivasan describes the issues involved in pricing of national brands relative to store brands (or private label brands) in light of the increasing quality equivalence between them. The authors suggest that in most cases national brands possess some degree of pricing and market power over store brands. They discuss the sources of such power in terms of price premium, volume premium and margin premium, and suggest directions for future work.

Chapter 13 by Narasimhan describes the tradeoffs involved in using trade promotions versus lowering price or advertising in the B2C markets. The chapter reviews different

## 4 Handbook of pricing research in marketing

types of trade promotions, the rationale behind using them, the potential impact on the channel partners, and managerial implications. The chapter concludes with several suggestions for future research such as the need to examine the role of trade promotions in a firm's overall pricing strategy.

Chapter 14 by Zhang discusses how prices can be customized for specific targets. This problem has become quite significant due to the unprecedented capability of firms to store and process past buying information on customers and the ability of firms to tailor prices to individual customers. The chapter answers such questions as 'Is target pricing beneficial to firms?', 'What is the best way of designing incentives if targeted pricing is followed?', and 'Is target pricing beneficial to society as a whole?' Some surprising results are discussed, as well as future directions for research in this emerging area.

Chapter 15 by Sudhir and Datta provides a critical review of research in pricing within a distribution channel. Specifically, the authors review the literature on three decisions, which vary in terms of planning horizon, on retail pass-through, pricing contracts and channel design. They also review the empirical literature on structural econometric models of channels and suggest directions for future research. For example, opportunities exist to study channel behavior in the presence of nonlinear pricing contracts (the topic of Chapter 16) and developing methodologies that endogenize retailers' decision to carry the product.

Chapter 16 by Iyengar and Gupta covers nonlinear pricing and related multi-part pricing paradigms, and reviews the extant literature. The authors point out that while two-part tariffs may be nearly optimal in many settings, there is a need to examine more complex pricing schemes. They also discuss the challenges involved in analyzing pricing schemes due to the two-way relationship between price and consumption (as in telephone pricing) and show some approaches to tackling such problems. They present some empirical generalizations and identify areas for future research.

Chapter 17 by Seetharaman focuses on how state dependence and reference prices affect consumer choices over time and their pricing implications for firms competing in oligopolistic markets. Based on a review of various econometric models of dynamic pricing, he identifies research opportunities for incorporating reference price effects in descriptive models of what firms actually do in practice.

## Part III (nine chapters): special topics

Chapter 18 by Amaldoss and Jain focuses on how social needs such as prestige influence purchase decisions. The authors show that snobs can have an upward-sloping demand curve only in the presence of consumers who are conformists. They also investigate how social needs may influence the prices and qualities of the products that consumers choose to buy. There are opportunities to extend their one-period game to deal with multi-period decisions and also to incorporate reference group effects and brand equity.

Chapter 19 by Park and Wang provides a review of recent research on the emerging market mechanism of online auctions. Their survey covers theoretical, empirical and experimental research on the effects of auction design parameters of minimum price, buy price, and duration, bidding strategies and competition. They also discuss the name-your-own-price mechanism. They call for additional empirical research on the effects of auction design parameters, experiments to study the effects of bidder behavior, and studies on bidder learning. Research in this area will undoubtedly proliferate in the future.

Chapter 20 by Liu and Chintagunta deals with the subject matter of pricing under network effects. They review the early literature on static pricing under network effects that focused on the effects of price expectations and the multiple equilibria problem. They state that penetration pricing has been found optimal under various scenarios. Their review of analytical literature of pricing under network effects connects with other literatures. Noting that empirical research is scarce in this area, they identify issues that limit such research.

Chapter 21 by Xie and Shugan covers how prices should be set under the new paradigm of advance selling that has been facilitated by developments in technology. They discuss how the profit advantage of advance selling is quite general and is not severely restricted by industry structures. They also show that simply offering advance selling can improve profits because it separates purchase and consumption, which creates buyer uncertainty about their future product/service evaluation and removes seller information disadvantage. They identify several research opportunities in such areas as the evaluation of consequences and profitability of advance selling in many new situations, and sellers offering multiple advance periods.

Chapter 22 by Kimes discusses the strategic role of price in revenue management. Revenue management has been practiced in the airline, hotel and car rental industries for some time and is receiving attention in other industries such as broadcasting and golf. The chapter reviews the literature on models of revenue management allocation and pricing, and the practices in industry. There are opportunities to incorporate competitive reactions in such models.

Chapter 23 by Kina and Wosinska discusses the various institutional characteristics that affect pricing of prescription drugs. The chapter provides insights on the role of various players in this complex price-setting problem. The authors identify three distinct areas for future research - clarifying the market, ways to optimize the current system, and the influence of changes in the regulatory and institutional environment on pricing pharmaceutical products. Research opportunities in this topic are considerable.

Chapter 24 by Liu and Weinberg describes how pricing decisions particularly challenge not-for-profit organizations, which have a social rather than a profit objective function. The authors show how the pricing models in the nonprofit sector are different from those of for-profit businesses. The chapter surveys findings in the theoretical and empirical research on nonprofit organizations. The authors identify special issues in relating constructs of consumer taste and willingness to pay commonly employed in pricing models for the nonprofit sector. They describe interesting research opportunities in examining the effects of price-quality and product differentiation in the nonprofit sector.

Chapter 25 by Shoemaker and Mattila focuses on the pricing issues in the services sector in general. The authors review how the special characteristics of services such as intangibility and simultaneous production and consumption offer unique challenges to the firm in setting prices. Their framework is an attempt to show how various factors affect consumers' reservation price for a service and how this interacts with the way a firm can formulate service offers to gain maximum revenues. They provide illustrations of practice and suggest research possibilities in this important sector of the economy.

The final chapter, Chapter 26 by Ho and Su , provides a selective review of pricing models that are of interest to operations management researchers. The authors review developments in four specific pricing models, two of which are based on inventory (EOQ
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and Newsvendor), dynamic pricing models, and queuing models. They show how firms' pricing decisions serve as an important lever to shape consumer behavior and optimize profits. One common theme of this chapter is that consumers respond strategically and actively engage in operational decision-making. The authors suggest opportunities to extend this line of work to conditions that relax the rationality assumptions.

## Research directions

Interestingly, several of the research directions identified in my previous reviews of pricing literature (Rao, 1984 and 1993) have been pursued. In a similar manner, I hope that the research topics mentioned in the chapters of this Handbook will inspire future researchers. It is possible that future research on pricing will be tilted toward the newer pricing mechanisms that are aided by technology.
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## PART I

## INTRODUCTION/ FOUNDATIONS

# 1 Pricing objectives and strategies: a cross-country survey <br> Vithala R. Rao and Benjamin Kartono* 


#### Abstract

This chapter reports the results of a descriptive study on pricing objectives and strategies based on a survey among managers in three countries (USA, India and Singapore). The survey instrument was developed using a conceptual framework developed after an analysis of the extant literature on pricing objectives, strategies and factors that influence the choice of pricing strategies. Data were collected on firms' utilization of 19 possible pricing strategies, pricing objectives and various pricing determinants. The responses were used to estimate logit models of choice of pricing strategies. The results reveal interesting differences among the three countries as well as the use of different strategies. The implications of this descriptive study for guidance of pricing are discussed.


## 1. Introduction

Pricing is the only element of the marketing mix that brings revenues to a firm. While there are extensive theories/models of how a firm should price its goods and services, descriptive research on how firms make their pricing decisions is sparse in the literature. One may argue that descriptive research can help model builders in developing more realistic models for pricing. Various researchers in the past have been concerned about the practice of pricing and the degree to which it departs from theory. Yet our understanding of the pricing processes is still in its infancy.

The present chapter attempts to contribute to the descriptive pricing literature by not only examining the problem across various industries and countries, but also accounting for the effect of another important element of the pricing decision: the company/product conditions, market conditions, and competitive conditions that influence the pricing strategy adopted by the firm (collectively labeled as 'pricing strategy determinants' by Noble and Gruca, 1999). To complete the analysis, we also consider another element that can play a part in influencing pricing decisions, namely demographic characteristics of the firms in question as well as those of the individuals within the firms. In the sections that follow, we review extant descriptive research on pricing, present a conceptual framework that illustrates how firms determine their choice of pricing strategy, and describe the results of an empirical study that we conducted in three countries to assess the applicability of the framework.
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## 2. Selected review of past research

Descriptive research on how firms decide on the specific strategies ${ }^{1}$ of pricing is quite limited in the literature. Table 1.1 summarizes the main findings of seven studies beginning with the one by Hall and Hitch (1939) and ending with Avlonitis and Indounas (2005). All of these studies utilized either mail questionnaires and/or personal interviews to obtain data from samples of managers with a view to determining their pricing and profit objectives while pricing their products and services.

Table 1.1 A summary of past studies on pricing objectives and strategies of firms

| Author(s) | Date | Objectives of the study | Methodology employed | Some findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hall and Hitch | 1939 | To determine the way business executives decide what price to charge for their products | Use of a questionnaire and lengthy interviews among 38 business executives | Ten of the firms used conventional or full cost policy in setting prices, and methods for computing full cost varied among the firms. A large fraction of firms do not adopt the principle of marginal revenue equals marginal cost in setting prices. Firms take competitor reaction into account while pricing their products. |
| Lanzillotti | 1958 | To determine the pricing objectives of a sample of large US industrial firms | Postprandial research lengthy interviews conducted at two points in time among officials of firms | Several pricing objectives such as achieving a target rate of return, stabilization of price and margin, realizing a target market share, and meeting or preventing competition were uncovered in this study. |
| Shipley | 1981 | To determine pricing and profit objectives of British manufacturing firms | Use of a mail questionnaire sent to a stratified sample of sales and marketing directors listed in KOMPASS; responses obtained from 728 firms | General finding that there is a considerable heterogeneity of pricing and profit objectives that vary with size and number of competitors. Firms pursue a multiplicity of objectives while pricing their products. One-third of the firms do not list profit objective. |
| Samiee | 1987 | To examine the role of pricing in marketing plans of US- and | Mail survey among 104 USand 88 foreignbased companies | While there are differences in the role of pricing among the two groups of firms, pricing decisions are found to be more centrally made |

[^2]Table 1.1 (continued)

| Author(s) | Date | Objectives of the study | Methodology employed | Some findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | foreign-based companies operating in the USA as well as how pricing decisions are made and the objectives for pricing | and personal interviews among executives from 12 such companies | in the US-based companies. Pricing objectives are found to be similar; the major objectives are: satisfactory ROI, maintenance of market share, reaching a specified profit goal, seeking largest market share, and profit maximization. |
| Jobber and Hooley | 1987 | To examine pricing objectives for both manufacturing and service companies, differences by stage of market evolution, size of the firm, and the relationship between pricing objectives and performance | Mail survey among 1775 members of the UK Institute of Marketing; questionnaire developed using interviews among 150 executives | Pricing objectives are found to vary by stage of market evolution and size of the firm. For example, maximization of current sales revenues is found to be more important for emerging/new markets as compared to growth markets. Profit maximization and market share attainment/maximization were similar by stage of the market evolution. Small and medium-sized firms used profit maximization as pricing objective more than large firms. Both positive and negative relationships between pricing objectives and performance were found. |
| Noble and Gruca | 1999 | To organize the existing theories of pricing and to determine which factors account for the use of specific strategies | Based on extensive literature search, a questionnaire was constructed and administered to 270 managers in industrial firms in the USA. The researchers developed logistic regression models that relate the strategy choices to a variety of factors deemed relevant to pricing strategy. | In general, the authors found that managers' pricing strategy choices are consistent with normative pricing research. This conclusion applies to four specific stets of pricing strategies: new product pricing, competitive pricing, product line pricing and costbased pricing. |

Table 1.1 (continued)

| Author(s) | Date | Objectives of the study | Methodology employed | Some findings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avlonitis and Indounas | 2005 | To explore the association between pricing objectives and strategies in the services sector | Personal interviews involving 170 companies from six different service sectors in Greece. Logistic regression was used to assess the impact of pricing objectives on the adopted strategies. | The key pricing objectives adopted are fundamentally qualitative in nature and determined with customers' needs and satisfaction in mind, but the pricing strategies used tend to be firm-centric, with the costplus method and pricing according to average market prices adopted by most of the firms. |

To illustrate, the study by Lanzillotti (1958) utilized personal interviews among officials of a purposive sample of 20 large US corporations and attempted to understand various goals pursued by their pricing policies. He found that these firms had a varied set of goals such as increasing market share, maintenance of market share, achieving a 'fair' return on investment, achieving a minimum rate of return, stabilization of prices, and matching competitor prices. Noble and Gruca (1999) adopted the same basic approach and developed a comprehensive list of factors that affect the choice of pricing strategies of firms. Further, they developed statistical relationships (à la the logit model) between the choice of a pricing strategy and a number of determinants of that choice. They identified the factors using normative pricing research and other conjectures about the determinants. More recently, Avlonitis and Indounas (2005) explored the relationship between firms' pricing objectives and their corresponding pricing strategies in the services sector using a sample of 170 Greek companies and found clear associations between specific strategies and objectives.

Several researchers have studied the issue of price stickiness, which is broadly related to that of pricing strategies. The question here is how often firms change prices of products and services they offer. A significant example of this research theme is the extensive study by Blinder et al. (1998), who use interviews among executives to understand why prices are sticky in the US economy; their conclusions are that price stickiness is the rule and not an exception, and that business executives do not adjust prices based on macroeconomic considerations. There is some ongoing work by Bewley (2007), who is conducting interviews among business executives to look at the issue of price stickiness; he reaches a somewhat opposite conclusion that price rigidity is far from being the rule and that prices for a large volume of trade are flexible. In contrast to the studies based on interviews, Lien (2007) analyzes micro-data at the firm level reported in quarterly surveys in Switzerland and concludes that inclusion of macroeconomic variables adds only marginally to the explanatory power of a price adjustment probability model that includes firm-specific variables. A similar study is reported by Cornille and Dossche (2006), who use Belgian data on firm-level prices reported for the computation of the Producers' Price Index and find that one out of four Belgian prices changes in a typical month.

While these studies have offered a number of insights into how firms set prices, more empirical research needs to be done to better understand the price-setting process and, in particular, the relationship between firms' pricing objectives, pricing strategies and other elements of the pricing decision. Indeed, Avlonitis and Indounas (2005) state that their extensive review of the literature revealed a lack of any prior work investigating the potential association between a firm's pricing objectives and pricing methods, and that their work is a first attempt at studying this issue empirically within the context of the service industry. The present chapter attempts to further close this gap in the pricing literature by studying how firms' pricing strategies may be affected by their pricing objectives and various firm, market, and competitive conditions. The study was done on firms operating in three countries (USA, India, and Singapore) across a variety of industries and also examines the relationship between the firms' pricing strategies and selected demographic characteristics of the firm.

## 3. Conceptual framework for pricing decisions

In general, the factors that affect a firm's choice of a pricing strategy can be classified under two broad categories: the pricing objectives of the firm, and pricing strategy determinants. The latter refers to the various company/product conditions, market and customer (consumer) conditions, and competitive conditions that may influence the pricing strategies adopted. In addition, because the data on pricing choices of firms are usually collected by the survey method from managers, certain demographic characteristics of the individual respondents will also matter. Figure 1.1 shows the conceptual framework we adopt in this chapter. It follows the approach of Noble and Gruca (1999), and develops statistical relationships between the choice of a pricing strategy and various relevant factors. Unlike Noble and Gruca (1999), however, in addition to examining the relationship between pricing strategy determinants and the choice of strategy, our framework also looks into the effect of pricing objectives as well as respondent and firm characteristics (such as the respondent's degree of influence in pricing decisions and the size of the firm) on the pricing strategy adopted.

We established our list of possible pricing objectives for the firm based on Diamantopoulos and Mathews (1995, ch. 5). Based on extensive empirical evidence obtained over a two-year period from an in-depth study of a large, oligopolistic manufacturing firm in the medical supplies industry, the authors developed a comprehensive list of possible objectives that managers may seek to accomplish through their pricing decisions. Next, we developed our list of pricing strategy determinants based on the comprehensive outline given in Noble and Gruca (1999). In addition to the determinants studied by the authors, we extended the list to include a number of other determinants relevant to the pricing decision. The complete list of pricing objectives and pricing strategy determinants is given in our empirical study in the next section. Finally, we developed our list of 19 possible pricing strategies which the firm can adopt (for both consumer and industrial markets) through a detailed review of the pricing strategy literature, in particular Tellis (1986) and Noble and Gruca (1999). These strategies ${ }^{2}$ cover a variety of possible pricing situations such as competitive
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pricing, cost-based pricing, new product pricing, product line pricing, geographic-based pricing and customer-based pricing. Descriptions of these strategies are given in Table 1.2. One 'new' strategy that we have included, which has not been extensively looked at in the pricing strategy literature, is Internet pricing. We define Internet pricing as the strategy of pricing a product differently on the firm's website compared to the firm's other sales outlets (for example, firms may price their products lower if consumers purchase them online and directly from the firm because of the reduction in costs obtained from not having to pay wholesale and retail margins), and can be thought of as a strategy of pricing differently across channels of distribution (with a focus on direct selling through the Internet). Our reason for including this pricing strategy stems from the increase in Internet commerce that has occurred over the last decade, and we expect this strategy to grow in importance as Internet usage and Internet commerce continue to increase across countries and markets.

Table 1.2 Pricing strategies and their descriptions

| Pricing strategy | Description of strategy |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Price skimming | We set the initial price high and then systematically reduce it over time. Customers expect prices to eventually fall. |
| 2. Penetration pricing | We set the initial price low to accelerate product adoption. |
| 3. Experience curve pricing | We set the price low to build volume and reduce costs through accumulated experience. |
| 4. Leader pricing | We initiate a price change and expect other firms to follow. |
| 5. Parity pricing | We match the price set by the overall market or price leader. |
| 6. Low-price supplier | We always strive to have the lowest price on the market. |
| 7. Complementary product pricing | We price the core product low when complementary items such as accessories, supplies and services can be priced higher. |
| 8. Price bundling | We offer this product as part of a bundle of several products, usually at a total price that is lower than the sum of individual prices. |
| 9. Customer value pricing | We price one version of our product at very competitive levels, offering fewer features than are available on other versions. |
| 10. Cost-plus pricing | We establish the price of the product at a point that gives us a specified percentage profit margin over our costs. |
| 11. Break-even pricing | We establish the price of the product at a point that will allow us to recover the costs of developing the product. |
| 12. Price signaling | We use price to signal the quality of our product to customers. |
| 13. Image pricing | We offer an identical version of the product at a higher price. |
| 14. Premium pricing | We price one version of our product at a premium, offering more features than are available on other versions. |
| 15. Second market discounting | We price this product at very competitive levels for the purpose of exporting or selling in secondary markets. |
| 16. Periodic or random discounts | We periodically or randomly lower the price of this product. |
| 17. Geographic pricing | We price this product differently for different geographic markets. |
| 18. Perceived value pricing | We price this product based on our customers' perceptions of the product's value. |
| 19. Internet pricing | We price this product differently on our Internet website compared to the price we charge through our other sales outlets. |

Our review of the extant literature on descriptive, empirical pricing research suggests that ours is the first study that brings together all three key elements of the pricing decision: the pricing objectives, the pricing strategy determinants and, finally, the pricing strategies adopted. In a nutshell, pricing strategies are the means by which the firm's pricing objectives are to be achieved, while the determinants are the internal and external conditions faced by the firm that influence managers' choice of pricing strategies. Our aim is to obtain a more holistic view of the pricing decision, and provide a better understanding of the relationship between each key element of the decision. In addition, the fact that our study was conducted across a number of countries enables us to study any potential differences or similarities in pricing decisions made by firms in different countries. In the next section, we describe our empirical study in detail.

## 4. Empirical study

The study was conducted via a survey of firms operating in the USA, Singapore and India over a period of about a year beginning in November 2003. The cross-country survey was done primarily by mail and survey questionnaires were sent out to more than 600 firms in each country across a variety of industries. A total of 199 usable responses were obtained, of which 73 were from firms operating in the USA, 54 were from firms operating in Singapore, and 72 were from firms operating in India. The goals of the study were, first, to examine the applicability of our framework in describing the relationship between firms' pricing objectives, pricing strategy determinants and pricing strategies, and, second, to compare the firms' pricing decisions across different countries.

The survey covered products at different stages of the product life cycle (PLC) and spanned a number of different industries and product types. Given the nature of the method used, we cannot claim a representative sample of the population. But the results provide a snapshot of how firms make pricing decisions, as illustrated by the pricing strategies they adopted, their determinants, and the associated pricing objectives. In this section, we first provide a detailed summary of our survey and descriptive statistics of the survey results, and then describe our modeling approach for estimating the statistical relationships between pricing strategy choice and its determinants for several types of pricing strategies. We then present and discuss the results of our estimation and conclude by discussing some directions for future research.

### 4.1 Survey and descriptive statistics

In the survey, the respondents were first asked to name one primary product sold by their firm in the domestic market, provide some background information about the product, and answer all remaining questions in the survey with reference to only the named product. Information on the pricing strategies adopted for this product was then collected by asking the respondents to select up to five strategies from a given list of pricing strategies and to indicate the relative percentage importance of each selected strategy such that the total importance across all selected strategies summed to 100 percent. Next, the respondents were presented with a list of possible pricing objectives that their firm may seek to accomplish by adopting the selected pricing strategies and asked to rate the importance of each objective on a five-point scale. Following that, the respondents were presented with the list of pricing strategy determinants that may play a part in determining the kinds of pricing strategies adopted by the firm and asked to rate the degree to which each condition affects the pricing strategies adopted. Finally, the respondents were asked to provide some information on the profile of the firm and their professional experience.

Product profile The product information collected in the survey included the name of the product, the price of a unit of the product, the type of product (service or physical product), its stage in the PLC, the price of the product relative to the market, and whether the product was sold to businesses, end-consumers, or both. About 72 percent of the responses obtained were based on physical products, while the rest were based on service products such as financial services or business consultancy services. The products were mostly in the growth ( 37 percent) or maturity ( 54 percent) stages of the PLC, although these figures differed somewhat across countries. In terms of the price of the product

Table 1.3 Product profile (all figures in percentages)

|  | USA | Singapore | India | Full sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product type (\% physical product) | 60.3 | 68.5 | 87.5 | 72.4 |
| Stage of the product life cycle |  |  |  |  |
| Introduction | 9.6 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 7.5 |
| Growth | 34.2 | 22.2 | 50.0 | 36.7 |
| Maturity | 54.8 | 66.7 | 43.1 | 53.8 |
| Decline | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| Mean price of product relative to the market* | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.66 | 3.67 |
| Product user |  |  |  |  |
| Individual consumers or households | 32.9 | 27.8 | 31.9 | 31.2 |
| Businesses or organizations | 42.5 | 44.4 | 26.4 | 37.2 |
| Both | 24.7 | 27.8 | 41.7 | 31.7 |

Note: * Price relative to market: $1=5 \%$ or more below the market; $2=1$ to $4 \%$ below the market; $3=$ same as the market; $4=1$ to $4 \%$ above the market; and $5=5 \%$ or more above the market.
relative to the market, on a five-point scale where $1=5$ percent or more below the market, $3=$ same as the market, and $5=5$ percent or more above the market, the sample mean was 3.67 , suggesting that most of the products were priced at the same level as or slightly higher than the market. This phenomenon was consistent across all three countries, and the products concerned were distributed fairly evenly among consumer and business markets. Table 1.3 presents a summary of the product profiles.

Pricing strategies Each respondent was presented with the list of 19 pricing strategies encompassing a variety of pricing situations. The respondent was asked to select up to five pricing strategies from the list and to indicate the relative importance of each selected strategy such that they summed to 100 percent. For the sample as a whole, the most frequently used pricing strategy was cost-plus pricing ( 47.2 percent of firms), with a mean percentage importance of 37.8 percent. This was followed by price signaling ( 37.7 percent of firms, mean importance of 22.6 percent), perceived value pricing ( 34.2 percent of firms, mean importance of 33.1 percent), and parity pricing ( 31.7 percent of firms, mean importance of 36.9 percent). The least frequently used pricing strategies were Internet pricing ( 3 percent of firms, mean importance of 12.5 percent) and both break-even pricing ( 7.5 percent of firms, mean importance of 24.7 percent) and second market discounting (7.5 percent of firms, mean importance of 20 percent). In some cases, the frequency of usage and mean importance of certain pricing strategies varied considerably across countries. For example, only 9.7 percent of firms in India used perceived value pricing, while the figure was 52.1 percent in the USA and 42.6 percent in Singapore (the mean importance of perceived value pricing among firms that use this strategy, however, was fairly similar across countries and ranged from about 28 percent to 34 percent). Similarly, almost 42 percent of firms in India used parity pricing (mean importance of 43.2 percent), while

Table 1.4a Usage frequency (percentage of firms) and mean percentage importance of pricing strategies

| Pricing strategy | Usage frequency (\%) |  |  |  | Mean importance (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USA | S'pore | India | Full sample | USA | S'pore | India | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. Price skimming | 13.7 | 16.7 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 22.5 | 32.8 | 21.5 | 25.3 |
| 2. Penetration pricing | 8.2 | 18.5 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 25.8 | 23.0 | 33.3 | 27.4 |
| 3. Experience curve pricing | 12.3 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 21.1 | 32.0 | 30.6 | 27.0 |
| 4. Leader pricing | 12.3 | 13.0 | 36.1 | 21.1 | 35.0 | 17.1 | 32.5 | 30.5 |
| 5. Parity pricing | 23.3 | 29.6 | 41.7 | 31.7 | 35.5 | 26.6 | 43.2 | 36.9 |
| 6. Low-price supplier | 5.5 | 9.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 32.0 | 29.3 |
| 7. Complementary product pricing | 11.0 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 27.5 | 17.5 | 15.0 | 21.9 |
| 8. Price bundling | 16.4 | 20.4 | 8.3 | 14.6 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 20.5 | 25.4 |
| 9. Customer value pricing | 12.3 | 18.5 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 22.7 | 21.2 |
| 10. Cost-plus pricing | 46.6 | 42.6 | 51.4 | 47.2 | 41.5 | 35.1 | 35.9 | 37.8 |
| 11. Break-even pricing | 6.8 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 27.5 | 24.7 |
| 12. Price signaling | 31.5 | 48.1 | 36.1 | 37.7 | 21.1 | 26.5 | 20.0 | 22.6 |
| 13. Image pricing | 2.7 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 22.5 | 16.4 |
| 14. Premium pricing | 31.5 | 24.1 | 29.2 | 28.6 | 24.9 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.3 |
| 15. Second market discounting | 4.1 | 5.6 | 12.5 | 7.5 | 18.3 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 20.0 |
| 16. Periodic or random discounts | 16.4 | 22.2 | 13.9 | 17.1 | 23.3 | 20.8 | 16.0 | 20.3 |
| 17. Geographic pricing | 13.7 | 16.7 | 26.4 | 19.1 | 17.8 | 21.1 | 18.4 | 18.9 |
| 18. Perceived value pricing | 52.1 | 42.6 | 9.7 | 34.2 | 34.3 | 32.8 | 27.9 | 33.1 |
| 19. Internet pricing | 2.7 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
| 20. Other pricing strategies | 15.1 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 54.3 | 53.3 | 47.0 | 52.2 |

Notes: The above table may be read as follows. As an example, consider price skimming. The column under 'USA usage frequency' shows that $13.7 \%$ of the US firms in the sample employ price skimming. Similarly, $16.7 \%$ of the Singaporean firms, $13.9 \%$ of the Indian firms and $14.6 \%$ of all the firms in the sample use price skimming. The column under 'USA mean importance' shows that on average, an importance rating of $22.5 \%$ is allocated to price skimming among US firms adopting this strategy (relative to any other pricing strategies that these firms also adopt). Likewise, the mean importance rating for price skimming is $32.8 \%$ for Singaporean firms, $21.5 \%$ for Indian firms and $25.3 \%$ for all firms in the sample employing this strategy. The percentages in each column do not add up to $100 \%$ because each firm can select between one to five different pricing strategies.
only about 30 percent of Singapore firms and 23 percent of US firms adopted this pricing strategy (with mean importance of 26.6 percent and 35.5 percent respectively). Detailed information on the usage frequency and mean importance of each pricing strategy are provided in Table 1.4a.

Table 1.4b shows the number (and percentage) of pricing strategies adopted (ranging

Table 1.4b Frequency and percentage of firms using multiple strategies

|  | USA | S'pore | India | Full sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of firms employing 1 pricing <br> strategy | $5(6.8 \%)$ | $1(1.9 \%)$ | $3(4.2 \%)$ | $9(4.5 \%)$ |
| No. of firms employing 2 pricing <br> strategies | $11(15.1 \%)$ | $9(16.7 \%)$ | $18(25.0 \%)$ | $38(19.1 \%)$ |
| No. of firms employing 3 pricing <br> strategies | $20(27.4 \%)$ | $14(25.9 \%)$ | $13(18.1 \%)$ | $47(23.6 \%)$ |
| No. of firms employing 4 pricing <br> strategies | $22(30.1 \%)$ | $13(24.1 \%)$ | $22(30.6 \%)$ | $57(28.6 \%)$ |
| No. of firms employing 5 (or more) <br> pricing strategies | $15(20.5 \%)$ | $17(31.5 \%)$ | $16(22.2 \%)$ | $48(24.1 \%)$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Note: * Figures in parentheses show the percentage of firms employing the stated number of pricing strategies as a percentage of the total for that column.
from one strategy up to five or more) by the firms in each country and across the entire sample. Less than 5 percent of firms in the sample employ only one pricing strategy, and indeed, more than half the firms in the sample employ at least four different pricing strategies for the (same) product which they were asked to consider in the survey.

Besides choosing from the given list of pricing strategies, the respondents were also given an option to describe any additional strategies used by their firm that were not part of the given list (about 10 percent of respondents provided such information, with these strategies having a mean importance of 52.2 percent). These strategies included strategies such as contract pricing (where a fixed price for a certain quantity of purchase is agreed upon between the firm and the customer), customer segment pricing (where prices charged depend on the profile or characteristics of the customer), channel member pricing (where prices depend on recommendations or requirements put forth by the firm's distributors in the supply chain), and regulatory pricing (where prices are controlled by the government).

In addition, the respondents were asked if the increase in Internet usage among both consumers and businesses over the last several years has affected their firms' pricing decisions and if their firms have developed any new pricing strategies as a result of this increase. On the whole, the pricing decisions of 16.2 percent of the firms have been affected by the increase in Internet usage. Most of these firms came from Singapore (29.6 percent of firms) compared to 16.7 percent of firms in the USA and 5.6 percent of firms in India. Overall, about 9 percent of firms have developed new pricing strategies due to the increase in Internet usage. Most of these firms came from the USA and Singapore, where about 13 percent of firms reported having developed new pricing strategies, compared to about 3 percent in India.

Pricing objectives To better understand the role of pricing objectives in the firm's choice of pricing strategy, the respondents were presented with a list of 17 possible objectives and asked to rate the importance of achieving each objective with regard to the most

Table 1.5 Mean ratings of importance of pricing objectives $(1=$ not at all important, 5 $=$ extremely important)

| Pricing objectives | US mean importance | Singapore mean importance | India <br> mean importance | Full sample mean importance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Increase or maintain market share | 4.21 | 4.02 | 4.15 | 4.14 |
| 2. Increase or maintain sales volume | 4.16 | 4.17 | 4.14 | 4.16 |
| 3. Project a desired product image | 3.57 | 3.96 | 3.21 | 3.55 |
| 4. Match competitor pricing | 2.85 | 3.19 | 3.07 | 3.02 |
| 5. Increase or maintain money gross profit | 3.72 | 4.02 | 3.86 | 3.85 |
| 6. Maintain level of competition | 3.42 | 3.54 | 3.18 | 3.36 |
| 7. Avoid price wars | 2.50 | 3.09 | 2.65 | 2.72 |
| 8. Increase or maintain sales revenue | 4.12 | 4.00 | 3.72 | 3.94 |
| 9. Maintain distributor support | 2.69 | 2.94 | 2.60 | 2.72 |
| 10. Increase or maintain gross profit margin | 3.88 | 4.15 | 3.88 | 3.95 |
| 11. Achieve rational price structure | 3.06 | 3.33 | 2.93 | 3.09 |
| 12. Erect or maintain barriers to entry | 2.28 | 2.54 | 2.28 | 2.35 |
| 13. Increase or maintain liquidity | 2.21 | 2.48 | 2.46 | 2.37 |
| 14. Undercut competitor pricing | 1.97 | 1.98 | 1.94 | 1.96 |
| 15. Avoid government attention or intervention | 1.47 | 1.94 | 1.74 | 1.70 |
| 16. Avoid customer complaints about unfair prices | 2.11 | 2.61 | 2.43 | 2.36 |
| 17. Cover costs | 3.57 | 3.69 | 3.44 | 3.56 |

important pricing strategy they have selected on a five-point scale where 1 represents 'not at all important' and 5 represents 'extremely important'. For the sample as a whole, the most important objectives were those of increasing or maintaining market share (mean importance rating of 4.14) and increasing or maintaining sales volume (mean importance rating of 4.16). These were followed by the objectives of increasing or maintaining gross profit margin (mean importance rating of 3.95) and that of increasing or maintaining sales revenue (mean importance rating of 3.94). The least important objectives were those of avoiding government attention or intervention and undercutting competitor pricing (mean importance rating of 1.70 and 1.96 respectively). The complete list of objectives and the importance ratings of each pricing objective for each country and for the sample as a whole are given in Table 1.5.

Pricing strategy determinants To examine the role of various pricing strategy determinants (expressed in the form of company and product conditions, market and customer conditions, and competitive conditions) in influencing choice of pricing strategy, the respondents were asked to rate the level or intensity of these conditions with regard to
the named product. Company and product determinants included the age of the product, issues relating to product design, production costs and capacity utilization, the firm's market share and coverage, the profitability of accompanying and supplementary sales, and the number of intermediaries in the supply chain. Market and customer determinants of pricing strategies included the sensitivity of the firm's customers to price differences between brands, sensitivity of market demand to changes in average price, ease of determining market demand, market growth rate, customer costs and legal constraints. Competitive determinants included the degree of product differentiation between brands, the ease of detecting competitive price changes, and market share concentration of the leading firms in the industry. Table 1.6 presents a summary of the respondents' mean ratings of these pricing strategy determinants, together with the appropriate rating scales.

Table 1.6 Mean ratings of pricing strategy determinants

| Pricing strategy determinants | Rating scale | USA | S'pore | India | Full sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Sensitivity of customers to price differences between brands | $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { Insensitive, } \\ & 7=\text { Sensitive } \end{aligned}$ | 4.92 | 4.85 | 4.66 | 4.81 |
| 2. Sensitivity of market demand to changes in average price | $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { Insensitive } \\ & 7=\text { Sensitive } \end{aligned}$ | 3.85 | 4.54 | 4.00 | 4.09 |
| 3. Ease of determining market demand | $\begin{gathered} 1=\text { Difficult, } \\ 7=\text { Easy } \end{gathered}$ | 3.86 | 4.04 | 4.34 | 4.08 |
| 4. Market growth rate | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 3.92 | 4.00 | 4.54 | 4.16 |
| 5. Customer switching costs | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 3.21 | 3.94 | 3.65 | 3.56 |
| 6. Customer search costs | 1 Low, 7 = High | 3.21 | 3.68 | 3.06 | 3.28 |
| 7. Customer transaction costs | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 2.96 | 3.47 | 3.21 | 3.18 |
| 8. Impact of the Internet on market demand | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 2.15 | 2.48 | 1.38 | 1.98 |
| 9. Legal constraints | $1=$ Low, $7=$ High | 2.48 | 2.28 | 2.06 | 2.27 |
| Competitive conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Ease of detecting competitive price changes | $\begin{gathered} 1=\text { Difficult, } \\ 7=\text { Easy } \end{gathered}$ | 4.82 | 4.50 | 5.12 | 4.84 |
| 11. Market share concentration of the top three firms in the industry | $\begin{gathered} 1=\text { Less than } 5 \%, \\ 7=\text { Greater than } 80 \% \end{gathered}$ | 5.04 | 5.09 | 5.40 | 5.19 |
| 12. Product differentiation between brands | 1 = Low, $7=$ High | 4.08 | 4.09 | 3.62 | 3.92 |
| 13. Impact of the Internet on competitive conditions | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 2.37 | 2.68 | 1.42 | 2.13 |
| Product/company conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Estimated age of product in years |  | 7.28 | 7.61 | 8.45 | 7.79 |
| 15. Cost disadvantage due to experience curve | Percentage of firms | 34.2\% | 27.8\% | 43.1\% | 35.6\% |

Table 1.6 (continued)

| Pricing strategy determinants | Rating scale | USA | S'pore | India | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. Cost disadvantage due to economies of scale | Percentage of firms | 35.9\% | 33.3\% | 47.2\% | 39.4\% |
| 17. Capacity utilization (relative to other products) | $1=$ Low, $7=$ High | 4.75 | 4.71 | 5.37 | 4.96 |
| 18. Costs (relative to competitors) | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { = Disadvantage } \\ 7=\text { Advantage } \end{gathered}$ | 4.15 | 4.28 | 4.21 | 4.21 |
| 19. Major product change (significance of most current design change) | Percentage of firms | 21.4\% | 20.4\% | 13.9\% | 18.2\% |
| 20. Market coverage | Percentage of firms serving only one customer segment | 8.2\% | 9.3\% | 2.8\% | 6.5\% |
| 21. Market share | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 5.19 | 5.04 | 5.59 | 5.29 |
| 22. Per sale/contract pricing | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.49 |
| 23. Profitability of accompanying sales | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 4.34 | 4.15 | 3.26 | 3.89 |
| 24. Profitability of supplementary sales | 1 = Low, $7=$ High | 3.15 | 3.53 | 2.64 | 3.06 |
| 25. Number of intermediaries in supply chain | 1 = Low, $7=$ High | 2.92 | 2.69 | 2.81 | 2.81 |
| 26. Costs of developing the product | 1 = Low, 7 = High | 4.25 | 4.22 | 4.48 | 4.28 |
| 27. Impact of the Internet on product/company conditions | 1 = Low, $7=$ High | 2.73 | 2.98 | 1.47 | 2.34 |

In terms of market and customer determinants of pricing strategy, the results suggest that customers are fairly sensitive to price differences between brands as well as to changes in the average price. The former is particularly true in the USA and Singapore, possibly due to the higher number of alternative brands available to customers in these highly developed markets, while the latter is especially so for Singapore, due to the small and concentrated nature of its market. All three markets appear to have a moderate growth rate. Customer costs (switching, search and transaction costs) are moderately low across all three markets. Finally, both the impact of the increase in Internet usage on market demand as well as legal constraints on pricing strategies appear to be rather low as well, suggesting, for the former, that most customers still employ traditional methods of shopping and purchase, and, for the latter, that government regulations on pricing are not too restrictive.

The ratings for the competitive determinants of pricing strategy suggest that it is fairly easy for the firms surveyed to detect competitive price changes in the market. Additionally, oligopolistic competition seems to prevail across all three countries, with the top three firms in various industries commanding (in total) more than half the market share in the industry. Product differentiation between brands appears to be moderate
and, as before, the impact of the Internet on the competitive conditions faced by the firms appears to be low.

Finally, in terms of the company and product determinants of pricing strategy, the ratings across firms in all three markets appear to be moderate and quite similar across countries, with a couple of exceptions. The first pertains to the frequency of a major product change - more than 20 percent of firms in the USA and Singapore report having made a significant change in their current product design while the figure is about 14 percent for India. The second pertains to market coverage: the products marketed by the Indian firms tend to serve multiple customer segments, with only 2.8 percent of Indian firms reporting that they serve only one segment, vis-à-vis 8.2 percent and 9.3 percent for firms in the USA and Singapore respectively.

Profile of firms and respondents The firms from which the survey responses were obtained cover a diverse range of industries and product categories. They also ranged from small-scale businesses with fewer than ten employees and annual revenues of less than $\$ 10$ million to large, multinational corporations with several hundred thousand employees and billions of dollars in revenue. Most of the respondents surveyed were middle or senior managers who have had a significant number of years of managerial experience (average of 11.1 years) and have been employed in their present position for a considerable period of time (average of 4.5 years). In addition, most respondents have a fairly high degree of involvement in their firm's pricing decisions, with an average involvement rating of 5.45 on a seven-point scale where 1 represents 'not involved at all' and 7 represents 'strongly involved'. Detailed descriptive statistics on the profile of the firms and respondents are available from the authors.

### 4.2 Data analysis and discussion

We examined the relationship between the firms' choice of pricing strategies, pricing objectives and pricing strategy determinants by carrying out binary logistic regressions with the choice of the pricing strategy as the dependent variable and relevant variables representing the objectives, determinants, as well as firm and respondent characteristics as the explanatory variables. This section describes our data analysis procedure and its results.

Modeling approach and estimation Given that we collected a large number of variables in the study, we used factor analysis to see if the cumulative set of variables could be reduced to a smaller set of orthogonal factors, which would then be used to estimate the binary choice models for the different pricing strategies. The factor analysis was conducted separately on the groups of variables representing the pricing objectives, the pricing strategy, determinants, as well as the characteristics of the firm and the respondent.

The factor analysis for the 17 variables representing pricing objectives was relatively straightforward. The results shown in Table 1.7 indicate that the 17 objectives can be grouped into nine composite objectives, which explains 78.8 percent of the variance in the data.

The survey had outlined 27 possible determinants of pricing strategy that may influence a firm's choice of pricing strategies, broadly classified under three categories of business conditions: company and product conditions, market and customer conditions, and

Table 1.7 Factor analysis of the pricing objectives

| Pricing objective | Factor loading | Name for the factor |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1. Increase or maintain market share | 0.79 | Increase or maintain market |
|  |  | share |
| 2. Increase or maintain sales volume | 0.85 |  |
| 3. Increase or maintain sales revenue | 0.73 |  |
| 4. Increase or maintain gross profit dollars | 0.83 | Increase or maintain profit |
| 5. Increase or maintain gross profit margin | 0.86 |  |
| 6. Cover costs | 0.52 |  |
| 7. Match competitor pricing | 0.70 | Competitor-based pricing |
| 8. Undercut competitor pricing | 0.84 |  |
| 9. Achieve rational price structure | 0.82 | Rational pricing |
| 10. Increase or maintain liquidity | 0.58 |  |
| 11. Maintain level of competition | 0.50 | Maintain competitive level |
| 12. Avoid price wars | 0.85 |  |
| 13. Avoid government attention or | 0.62 | Avoid government attention |
| intervention | 0.88 |  |
| 14. Avoid customer complaints about |  |  |
| unfair prices | 0.82 | Erect or maintain barriers to |
| 15. Erect or maintain barriers to entry |  | entry |
| 16. Maintain distributor support | 0.87 | Maintain distributor support |
| 17. Project a desired product image | 0.96 | Project desired product image |

competitive conditions. The results of the factor analysis on the 27 variables are shown in Table 1.8, and enabled us to simplify the set of 27 measured variables into 12 factors, which explains 77.4 percent of the variance in the original variables. All but two of the factor loadings are in the expected direction.

In addition to pricing objectives and determinants relating to the business conditions under which the firms are operating, specific demographic characteristics of the survey respondent and the firm may also play a part in affecting the choice of pricing strategy. To account for the effect of such respondent characteristics, we used the size of the firm and the degree of involvement of the respondent with the firm's pricing decisions as two other explanatory variables in the choice model. As with the pricing objectives and determinants, these two variables were based on a factor analysis of the demographic measures we collected in the survey.

The net result of the variable reduction exercise yielded 23 variables $^{3}$ (that affect choice of pricing strategy) for the choice model, and is summarized in Table 1.9. In addition, we included two dummy variables to take account of the country differences among the three countries; one dummy variable to represent US respondents and one to represent Singapore respondents.

[^4]Table 1.8 Factor analysis of the measured pricing strategy determinants

| Pricing determinants | Factor loading | Name for the factor |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1. Impact of Internet on competitive <br> conditions faced by firm | 0.93 | Impact of the Internet |
| 2. Impact of Internet on market demand | 0.90 |  |
| 3. Impact of Internet on product/company | 0.80 |  |
| conditions faced by your firm |  |  |
| 4. Customer switching costs | 0.80 | Customer costs |
| 5. Customer search costs | 0.76 |  |
| 6. Customer transaction costs | 0.76 | Cost disadvantages |
| 7. Cost disadvantage due to experience | 0.92 |  |
| curve | 0.91 |  |
| 8. Cost disadvantage due to economies of |  |  |
| scale | 0.84 | Other sources of profit |
| 9. Profitability of accompanying sales | 0.74 | Customer price sensitivity |
| 10. Profitability of supplementary sales | 0.79 |  |
| 11. Sensitivity of customers to price |  |  |
| differences between brands | 0.78 |  |
| 12. Sensitivity of market demand to changes |  |  |
| in average price | 0.36 |  |
| 13. Legal constraints | 0.38 | Capacity utilization |
| 14. Per sale/contract pricing | 0.74 |  |
| 15. Capacity utilization (relative to other | 0.64 |  |
| products) | 0.58 | Market share |
| 16. Age of product in years | 0.69 | Mars |
| 17. Costs relative to competitors | 0.68 |  |
| 18. Market share |  |  |
| 19. Market share concentration of top three |  |  |
| firms in the industry | 0.52 |  |
| 20. Ease of detecting competitive price |  |  |
| changes |  | 0.39 |

Our study examined a list of 19 possible pricing strategies, and we focused our analysis on six of the most important strategies as chosen by the respondents. We first selected the specific pricing strategy deemed by each respondent as the one with largest importance (out of possible five strategies that could be indicated by the respondent) for the product in question. We then identified the following six strategies that are most frequent with this criterion; the frequencies of these six strategies are: 53 for cost-plus pricing, 35 for

Table 1.9 Summary of the various factors affecting the choice of pricing strategy

| Category | Factors |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pricing objectives | Increase or maintain market share |
|  | Increase or maintain profit |
|  | Competitor-based pricing |
|  | Rational pricing |
|  | Maintain competitive level |
|  | Avoid government attention |
|  | Erect or maintain barriers to entry |
|  | Maintain distributor support |
|  | Project desired product image |
|  | Company and product factors |
| Pricing strategy determinants |  |
|  | Cost disadvantages |
|  | Other sources of profit |
|  | Capacity utilization |
|  | Intermediaries in the supply chain |
|  | Market and customer factors |
|  | Impact of the Internet |
|  | Customer costs |
|  | Customer price sensitivity |
|  | Market development costs |
|  | Market growth |
|  | Market demand determination |
|  | Competitive factors |
|  | Market share |
|  | Product differentiation |
|  | Firm size (number of employees) |
|  | Degree of involvement in pricing |

perceived value pricing, 34 for parity pricing, 16 for price signaling, and 14 each for premium pricing and leader pricing. We estimated the choice model in the form of binary logistic regressions for each of the six pricing strategies. Based on the factor analyses done above, there were 25 independent variables: 9 variables were for the objectives of pricing strategies, 12 for the determinants of strategy, 2 country variables and 1 variable each for the size of the firm and the degree of involvement of the respondent. The logistic regression model was run with all the 25 variables. Consequently, even variables that are not significant were a part of the model.

Results and discussion The estimated coefficients for the six pricing strategies are given in Table 1.10. This section discusses the estimation results and the observed relationship between the key elements of the pricing decision.

COST-PLUS PRICING Cost-plus pricing refers to the pricing of a product at a predetermined margin over the product's estimated production costs. Although it is historically a commonly used pricing method, critics have warned against the viability of cost-plus pricing as a profitable pricing strategy because not only does it ignore the customer's valuation of

Table 1.10 Estimated logistic regression coefficients for six pricing strategies

| Variable name | Cost-plus pricing | Perceived value pricing | Parity pricing | Price signaling | Premium pricing | Leader pricing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country - USA | 0.211 | 1.882* | -25.397* | -0.199 | 2.497 | 0.165 |
| Country - Singapore | 0.398 | 2.417* | -2.178* | 1.390 | 3.072 | -23.794 |
| Pricing objectives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase or maintain market share | 0.049 | 0.122 | 0.152 | -0.011 | -0.506* | -0.454 |
| Increase or maintain profit | 0.473* | -0.100 | -0.180* | 0.017 | 0.083 | -0.541* |
| Competitor-based pricing | 0.089 | -0.307* | 0.290* | -0.410 | -0.657* | -0.212 |
| Rational pricing | 0.213* | -0.116 | 0.109 | -0.194 | -0.615* | 0.072 |
| Maintain competitive level | -0.161 | -0.075 | 0.337* | 0.680* | 0.443 | -0.557 |
| Avoid government attention | 0.097 | 0.044 | -0.135 | -0.104 | 0.395 | 1.008* |
| Erect or maintain barriers to entry | -0.384* | 0.409* | 0.016* | 0.092 | -0.181 | -0.232 |
| Maintain distributor support | 0.038 | 0.042 | 0.027* | -0.702* | 0.858 | -0.443 |
| Project desired product image | -0.356* | 0.294 | -0.194 | 0.484 | 0.957* | 2.716* |
| Pricing strategy determinants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact of the Internet | -0.030 | -0.038 | 0.308* | 0.112 | $-0.380^{*}$ | -0.571 |
| Customer costs | 0.041 | -0.060 | 0.597* | -0.074 | -0.347* | -0.473* |
| Cost disadvantages | -0.274 | 0.053 | 1.193 | -0.733* | -0.200 | 1.606* |
| Other sources of profit | -0.028 | -0.032 | -0.166 | 0.001 | 0.211 | 0.158 |
| Customer price sensitivity | 0.016 | -0.032 | 1.181* | 0.043 | 0.131 | -0.190 |
| Capacity utilization | -0.040 | -0.033 | -0.129 | 0.248 | -0.271 | 0.100 |
| Market share | 0.034 | -0.046 | -0.028 | 0.199 | -0.088 | 1.476* |
| Intermediaries in the supply chain | -0.231* | -0.035 | -0.252 | 0.157 | -0.058 | 1.397* |
| Product differentiation | 0.244* | 0.097 | -0.483 | 0.531* | -0.091 | -1.377* |
| Market development costs | -0.047 | 0.055 | 0.262 | 0.033 | 0.157 | 0.018 |
| Market growth rate | 0.011 | -0.178 | 0.249 | -0.204 | 1.378* | 0.801 |
| Market demand determination | 0.048 | 0.228 | 0.490 | 0.262 | -0.379 | 0.137 |
| Respondent and firm characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Firm size (number of employees) | 0.189* | 0.074 | 0.000 | -0.192 | 0.634* | -0.924* |
| Degree of involvement in pricing | -0.212* | 0.107 | -0.009 | 0.045 | 0.280 | 0.053 |
| Constant | -4.828* | -4.433* | -3.696* | -9.881* | -9.200* | -16.727* |

Table 1.10 (continued)

| Variable name | Cost-plus <br> pricing | Perceived <br> value <br> pricing | Parity <br> pricing | Price <br> signaling | Premium <br> pricing | Leader <br> pricing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of observations | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 |
| 2lnL (negative) | 168.222 | 139.532 | 123.172 | 68.128 | 48.268 | 37.936 |
| Cox \& Snell R-square | 0.269 | 0.205 | 0.256 | 0.195 | 0.234 | 0.273 |
| Hosmer-Lemeshow Chi <br> Square (8 df) | 8.867 | NA | 15.491 | 26.191 | 4.619 | 3.788 |
| Percent correct predictions <br> Number selecting this <br> strategy | 79.9 | 82.9 | 82.8 | 93.5 | 95.5 | 93.0 |

Notes: Values in bold are significant at 0.20 or below.
Values in bold with an asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ are significant at 0.10 or below.
the product, it may in fact harm profitability by overpricing the product in weak markets and underpricing it when demand is strong. In fact, some researchers argue that using a product's cost to determine its price does not make sense because it is impossible to determine a product's unit cost accurately without first knowing its sales volume (which depends on price), and thus cost-plus pricers are 'forced to make the absurd assumption that they can set price without affecting volume' (Nagle and Hogan, 2006, p. 3). Nevertheless, the results of the present study suggest that it is in fact the most popular pricing strategy used by firms across different industries and countries.

In adopting cost-plus pricing, the estimation results show that the most significant pricing objectives are to increase or maintain profit and to maintain a rational pricing structure. Indeed, one of the key reasons behind the popularity of cost-plus pricing is that it brings with it an air of financial prudence. It is a conservative approach that balances risks and returns by seeking to achieve an acceptable level of financial viability rather than maximum profitability. However, cost-plus pricing tends to go against a firm's objective of erecting or maintaining barriers to entry and maintaining a desired product image. It is difficult for an incumbent to price low enough to deter new entrants if it needs to achieve a predetermined margin over its estimated production costs, and since it is a pricing strategy that accounts for only the firm's supply constraints and fails to consider the customer's perception of the product, it will be difficult to use it to influence the product's image in the customer mindset.

In terms of the pricing strategy determinants, the firm's cost disadvantages have a significant and negative impact on the choice of a cost-plus pricing strategy. This result appears counter-intuitive at first, since the higher a firm's estimated costs of production, the more necessary it will be to cover these costs adequately and, hence, the more one would expect the firm to adopt the cost-plus method. However, as shown in Table 1.4b, most firms use multiple pricing strategies even for the same product. It is likely that the firms are trying to find an optimal balance between cost-plus pricing and other methods that take into account other issues besides costs, particularly when cost-plus pricing
on its own leads to unreasonably high and uncompetitive prices. Next, the greater the number of intermediaries in the firm's supply chain, the less likely the firm is to adopt cost-plus pricing. This is because more intermediaries not only leads to more cost disadvantages, but also results in reduced pricing control for the firm with regard to the final price charged to consumers, making it more difficult for the firm to specify a target profit margin for its product. On the other hand, a high level of product differentiation increases the likelihood of a firm adopting cost-plus pricing. This is because competitive pricing pressures are reduced for a unique product, enabling the firm to set a price that is commensurate with the product's costs.

Finally, in terms of respondent and firm characteristics, larger firms are more likely to adopt cost-plus pricing, while the lower the survey respondent's degree of involvement with the pricing decision, the more likely the firm is to adopt this strategy. This may be because larger firms are more likely to have established pricing policies and cost-plus calculation methods in place, developed by their accounting and finance departments, which specify minimum pricing requirements above estimated production costs in order to achieve a certain projected return. In view of these policies, marketing managers are likely to have less flexibility over pricing decisions. As for the country-specific effects, the coefficients on the country dummies suggest no significant difference in a firm's likelihood of adopting cost-plus pricing across the three countries considered, which makes sense given its popularity as a pricing method.
perceived value pricing Perceived value pricing, the next most frequently used pricing strategy, refers to the practice of pricing the product in accordance with what customers perceive the product to be worth. It is a customer-centric approach to pricing that prioritizes the customer's product valuation above cost, competition and other considerations.

Looking at the coefficients for pricing objectives, we observe that competitor-based pricing has a negative relationship with the likelihood of adopting perceived value pricing. This is because the more a firm looks toward the customer in its pricing decisions, the less concerned it is about competitive pricing pressures. Next, the more a firm wants to stop new players from entering the market, the more likely it is to adopt perceived value pricing. Customers who believe that they are getting value for money are more likely to remain loyal to incumbent firms and will hence make the market less attractive for new entrants. Finally, it is interesting to note that maintaining a desired product image does not significantly affect the likelihood of adopting perceived value pricing. An explanation for this could be that product image does not necessarily have to do with a product's value or quality. For instance, in the automobile market, Volvo consistently projects an image of safety, while in the digital music player market, the Apple iPod projects a hip, cool and user-friendly image. In both cases, however, the desired image was established less through the respective firms' pricing strategies and more through consistent and effective advertising messages, word of mouth, and other non-price methods. In other words, a good product image does not necessarily imply an expensive or exclusive product.

In terms of the pricing strategy determinants, the easier it is to determine the market demand, the more likely it is for a firm to use perceived value pricing. No other determinants are observed to significantly affect the likelihood of adopting perceived value pricing. When firms know where their customers come from and are more confident
about their projected sales figures, they can more easily set a price that is more acceptable to customers and at the same time minimizes risks to profitability. Accordingly, in terms of respondent characteristics, the higher the degree of involvement of the respondent with the pricing decision, the more likely it is for the firm to practice perceived value pricing, since this method requires a more flexible approach to pricing. Finally, the results show the presence of significant country-specific effects for perceived value pricing. Firms operating in the USA appear most likely to adopt this method, followed by Singapore and then India.

PARITY PRICING Parity pricing refers to the practice of setting a price for the product that is comparable to that of the market leader or price leader. In the former case, it means pricing the product close to the prices set by the biggest player(s) in the industry (which may or may not be the lowest or highest price on the market). In the latter case, it means pricing the product close to the prices set by the lowest-price players on the market. It is a strategy that takes into account competitive pricing pressures more than other factors.

Looking at the coefficients on the pricing objective variables, we see that all three objectives that involve meeting competitive pricing pressures (competitor-based pricing, maintaining competitive level, and erecting or maintaining barriers to entry) have a positive relationship with a firm's likelihood of employing parity pricing, which is in line with expectations. Next, the desire to maintain distributor support also increases a firm's likelihood of using parity pricing. This is because in competitive markets, distributors are just as likely as customers to switch to a different supplier if the latter presents them with an opportunity to earn higher margins. Hence it is important for a firm to ensure that its distributors earn competitive margins, and one way of doing this (and demonstrating it to distributors) is by making sure that the (end-user) price of its product is comparable with those of other competing suppliers. Finally, the more a firm wants to increase or maintain its profit, the less likely it is to adopt parity pricing. This is also intuitively reasonable because, in this case, the firm is more concerned with setting prices that are comparable with the competition instead of maintaining or maximizing the product's profitability.

A number of pricing strategy determinants have a positive relationship with a firm's likelihood of using parity pricing. First, the higher the impact of the Internet on the firm's operating and business conditions, the more likely it is to adopt parity pricing. The exponential growth in global Internet usage over the last decade has greatly facilitated the flow of market information and reduced search and transaction costs for customers and distributors, making it easier for the latter to compare prices across potential suppliers. As a result, it has become more necessary for firms to price their products more competitively. Next, the higher the customer costs (in the form of search, transaction and switching costs) and the higher the customer price sensitivity, the more likely it is for a firm to practice parity pricing. The latter is self-explanatory, while the former can be explained by the notion that the more difficult it is for customers to compare or switch between suppliers, the more likely it is for firms to ignore pricing pressures from customers and focus on competitive pressures instead. In addition, high cost disadvantages and market development costs also lead to the increased likelihood of using parity pricing. This could be because firms are trying aggressively to recoup these costs and to make sure that they price in a manner that achieves a balance between per unit profitability (by pricing close
to the market leader) and market share (by pricing close to the price leader), which can be more profitable in the long run than pricing at either extreme.

The estimation results also show that, in general, firms in India are most likely to adopt parity pricing, followed by firms in Singapore and then the USA. However, specific respondent and firm characteristics do not appear to have a significant impact on the likelihood of this strategy being adopted.
price signaling Price signaling is the strategy of using price as an indicator to customers of the product's quality. Although other product attributes (such as brand name) may also influence customers' perceptions of a product's quality, price appears to be particularly influential, and most customers assume that price and quality are positively correlated. Accordingly, price signaling is one of the most popular pricing strategies that firms employ, as not only does it improve customers' quality perceptions of its product, the higher price also translates into larger margins. Like perceived value pricing, it is a customer-centric pricing strategy that focuses more on customers' product perceptions than on other factors.

The only significant pricing objective that increases a firm's likelihood of adopting price signaling appears to be maintaining the level of competition. Since the goal of price signaling is to communicate the quality of your product vis- $\grave{a}$-vis the competition, it often involves setting a price that is comparable with (if not higher than) than the prices of competing products, thereby maintaining (or reducing) the level of competition and reducing the likelihood of a price war. In the same vein, having competitor-based pricing as a pricing objective significantly reduces the likelihood of price signaling being adopted, as does maintaining distributor support. The reason for the latter can again be attributed to the firm's focus on customers in adopting a price signaling strategy, even at the prospect of having distributors complain that a high retail price affects retail and intermediary sales. As in perceived value pricing, we note that projecting a desired image does not significantly influence the likelihood of price signaling being adopted as a strategy, and a similar reason as discussed previously may also be in effect here.

Looking at the coefficients on the pricing strategy determinants, the following variables increase the likelihood of price signaling being adopted by a firm: impact of the Internet, capacity utilization and product differentiation. As discussed under the section on parity pricing, the Internet has greatly facilitated the availability and flow of information to both firms and their customers. Many customers use the Internet to search for product information prior to purchase, and it serves as an efficient and cost-effective medium for firms to practice price signaling. ${ }^{4}$ As for product differentiation, it is reasonable to postulate that firms that use price as an indicator of their product's quality typically have products that are quite differentiated from their competitors (or at least perceived to be so by the firm's customers), thereby justifying the higher relative price. Next, the capacity

4 Many customers also use the Internet to seek low prices, and this may seem to run contrary to firms' use of price signaling via the Internet to indicate the quality of their product. One explanation could be that firms that use price signaling on the Internet are those whose products are differentiated enough in terms of perceived quality to warrant a price signaling strategy, or those who have a product line, with some lower-quality products priced competitively and others (targeted at the less price-conscious customers) priced relatively higher.
utilization variable encompasses not only how much the product in question makes use of the firm's available production capacity relative to its other products, but also the age of the product and the costs of the product relative to the firm's competitors. The positive coefficient on the variable can thus be explained by the notion that the more the firm has invested in a product, in terms of both time and production costs, the more likely the product is in fact of considerably higher quality than alternative products and, hence, the more likely the firm is to use price signaling to communicate this quality to customers. In further support of this observation, the coefficient on the cost disadvantages variable is negative, indicating that the fewer cost disadvantages the firm has, the more likely it is to produce a better product, which in turn makes it more likely to adopt price signaling.

Finally, the estimation results suggest that firms in all the three countries where the survey was performed are equally likely to use price signaling. Similarly, specific firm and respondent characteristics do not appear to significantly influence the probability that a firm will adopt this strategy.

PREMIUM PRICING Premium pricing is the strategy of pricing one version of a firm's product at a premium, offering more features than are available on the firm's other products. It is a strategy employed by firms that have multiple versions of the same product along a product line, with each version targeted at different customer segments.

We note first that both country-specific effects and respondent and firm characteristics are significant in influencing the likelihood of adopting this strategy. Firms in Singapore are more likely to adopt premium pricing, followed by the USA and India. Larger firms also have a higher likelihood of using this strategy, which makes intuitive sense because larger firms are more likely to have different versions of their product(s) for sale. Likewise, the respondent's degree of involvement in the pricing decision also has a significant and positive impact on the firm's likelihood of using premium pricing.

The following pricing objectives have a negative impact on the likelihood of a firm employing premium pricing: increasing or maintaining market share, competitor-based pricing and rational pricing. Since premium pricing is targeted at customers who value feature-laden products and are generally quite willing to pay a premium for them, firms that use this strategy are less likely to focus on market share or competitive pricing issues, at least not for the product in question. Conversely, maintaining distributor support and projecting a desired product image increase a firm's likelihood of adopting premium pricing. By pricing different versions of its products accordingly, instead of having a 'one-size-fits-all' average price that may overprice some products and underprice others, overall sales should improve as customers are given the flexibility to choose and pay for the value received. In addition, distributors also have the flexibility of carrying some or all of the firm's products. Hence it is likely that improved distributor support can be achieved with this pricing strategy. As for maintaining a desired product image, premium pricing can certainly help to differentiate the premium product from not only other products in the firm's product line but competing firms' products, as well, thereby contributing toward the image desired for the product.

As for the pricing strategy determinants, the following variables are observed to have a negative influence on the likelihood of premium pricing being adopted: customer costs, the impact of the Internet and capacity utilization. Interestingly, the latter two are in contrast to price signaling, which is another strategy that involves the setting of high prices.

The explanation may be as follows. In terms of the impact of the Internet, the ease of obtaining product information provided by the Internet may induce the firm's customers (even the more feature-conscious and less price-conscious ones) to explore other product options, both within the firm's product line and from competing firms, and increase the likelihood that these customers will buy an alternative product. Hence it has a negative impact on the probability of adopting premium pricing. As for capacity utilization, the observed result can be explained by the notion that the less the firm has invested in the product in terms of time and production costs, the less likely it is for the product to be feature-laden and, hence, be priced using premium pricing. Finally, the estimation results show that market growth rate has a positive impact on the likelihood of adopting premium pricing. This is because the faster the market and the firm's customer base grow, the more diverse customer tastes are likely to be. Hence it becomes more likely for firms to introduce, to suit different customers different versions of the product, at least one of which is likely to be premium-priced.

LEADER PRICING The sixth most frequently used pricing strategy is leader pricing, which refers to the practice of initiating a price change or establishing a benchmark price for a product in a category, and expecting other firms to follow. It is a pricing strategy that market leaders typically adopt, which makes its apparent popularity as a pricing strategy and the observed negative relationship between firm size and the likelihood of adopting leader pricing quite counter-intuitive. One reason for this could be that the firms in our sample are relatively small (Tables 1.7 and 1.9 show that about half the firms have annual revenues of less than $\$ 100$ million and employ fewer than 500 people), suggesting that many of these firms compete in regional, local or niche markets of limited size where few or no major players dominate (as is the case in larger or global markets) and most players are of comparable footing with one another. In such markets, any price change initiated by a player is likely to be noticed by the other players. As with cost-plus pricing and price signaling, country-specific effects are not significant for leader pricing, suggesting that firms in all three countries are equally likely to adopt this pricing method.

The pricing objectives of increasing or maintaining market share, and increasing or maintaining profit, are observed to have negative relationships with the likelihood of adopting leader pricing. This is because the more competitors follow the benchmark set by the price leader, the more intense the competition and the more fragmented the market. This suggests that firms employ this strategy not as a primary strategy to enhance share or profitability, but more as a secondary strategy to be used when its primary strategies are inappropriate, such as when competition is intense and market demand is at its peak, with little room for further expansion. On the other hand, the more a firm wants to avoid government attention in its pricing decision, the more likely it is to adopt leader pricing. Similarly, leader pricing is more likely to be used when the firm wants to project a certain product image.

Lastly, in terms of the pricing strategy determinants, the observed results show that the higher the firm's market share, the more likely it is to adopt leader pricing since competitors are more likely to follow. Next, the higher the costs are to customers of buying and switching from the product (and presumably competing products), and the higher the degree of product differentiation, the less likely it is that the firm will adopt leader pricing. This may be because, under such situations, firms are less worried about competitors and


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The two volumes of published articles on pricing tactics, strategies and outcomes edited by Waldman and Johnson (2007) epitomize the significant amount of research in microeconomics. A variety of topics is covered in the articles included in these volumes; examples are: pricing product line, pricing and consumer learning, collusive behavior, empirical studies of pricing strategies leasing and couponing.

[^1]:    * We thank Subrata Sen for providing valuable comments on an earlier draft of this chapter, and Shyam Shankar for his assistance in analysis of the survey data.

[^2]:    1 In the literature, the term 'pricing method' is sometimes used in place of the term 'pricing strategy'. For example, Oxenfeldt (1973), Diamantopoulos and Mathews (1995) and Avlonitis and Indounas (2005) use the former while articles such as Tellis (1986) and Noble and Gruca (1999) adopt the latter. In this chapter, we use both terms interchangeably.

[^3]:    2 Some of these pricing strategies raise legal issues, but such a discussion is beyond the scope of this chapter; see Nagle and Holden (2006) for discussion.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ We use variables directly rather than factor scores to retain the specific meaning of the determinants of pricing strategies and ease of interpretation.

